

In these developing conditions that will come to be the world of tomorrow globally, we must understand also that our methods and means of interaction as a nation, as a local community, or as an individual in foreign relations will change in some drastic ways. Cities of tomorrow will be essentially malignant overgrowth and incubi, draining the life from the earth and the populations that live outside their walls to feed their endless greed and hunger. What a wonderful thought. Not unlike the world of today but multiplied in its monstrosities and diminished in its endearing qualities. The great sprawling megacity metropolis of the future is one covering tens of thousands of square miles and composed of tens of millions of people all dependent on the ever-depleting resources of the land surrounding the metropolis. It is estimated that 70% of the global population will live in cities by 2050. The largest Megacities today are Tokyo (37 million), Delhi (30 million), Shanghai (27 million), São Paulo (22 million), Ciudad de México (22 million), Dhaka (21 million), Cairo (21 million), Beijing (20 million), Mumbai (20 million), and Osaka (19 million). The largest megacities in the US are New York (19 million) and Los Angeles (12 million)³⁸

The internal conflict of megacities like Karachi will directly affect megacities in the US. The nature of the highly connected global economies alone will have vital importance as each megacity will be dependent in some way to the other megacities and their regions. The web of trade and migration of populations will make each megacity more and more interlocked by blood ties. The non-state actors that control crucial resources, regions and territories will have influence over cities and regions in other parts of the world. Those Americans who adhere to the principle of non-intervention will be absorbed into a world where everything that is happening globally affects their daily life. This will create an impetus toward interventionism. The globalization of everything includes social attitude and political power and motive. When an oppressive leader of an impoverished country chooses to withhold a necessary crop supply to wield control over their neighbor, and that neighbor demands US support or they will stop exporting a necessary crop to the US, it is clear that the US would be forced into intervening out of necessity. While this is oversimplified and the solution to the problem is far from interventionism or dependency systems, it gives an example of the condition that is currently in action and developing further every day by the efforts of international organizations that are taking ever more control from sovereign nations year after year. Governments, such as the United States, with strictly defined rules and legal boundaries, with large unwieldy bureaucracies, complex treaties and international agreements, and extremely divided populations, will be hard pressed to keep up with the changing power structure of the connected megacities and the way they influence the world.

THE ECONOMY, PRIVATE BANKING, AND THE FEDERAL RESERVE

“Banking was conceived in iniquity and was born in sin. The Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create deposits, and with the flick of the pen they will create enough deposits to buy it back again. However, take it away from them, and all the great fortunes like mine will disappear and they ought to disappear, for this would be a happier and better world to live in. But, if you wish to remain the slaves of Bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, let them continue to create deposits.”

- Sir Josiah Stamp,

President of the Bank of England in the 1920's, the second richest man in Britain

The nature of our economic system is one that has a long and complex history, though the complexity diminishes as patterns become more apparent. If we look only as far back as the last century, we will not understand the economy of this nation or the world. If our perception is overly broad, we will miss some of the instrumental moments that have shaped our current situation. So, the vision must be sharp and broad and take into account many centuries and millennia of events and the patterns they describe. This conversation is not intended as a history book, but to put into context various attributes concisely to present the argument and the dire need for change in our present situation. There is far too much information to provide in even a thousand books to describe what is being condensed here, and what has been condensed before into easily digestible content. It is up to the reader to become the researcher and delve into these things to discover the truth for themselves if it is not apparent to them in these words. Our system today is mostly misunderstood and the vast majority of people in this nation are consumed by and indoctrinated into thinking that the transient issues on the privately owned news channels are the major problems in the world today. This is far from the truth and yet people are suffering their ignorance while supporting those who are perpetuating both their suffering and their ignorance.

Our economic structure today could be called many things. Captive capitalism describes our controlled and manipulated market denying free and true capitalism. Plutocracy describes the way in which our society is ruled by the super wealthy, private corporate interests, and how the government is directly owned and controlled by them. These two describe the most important of the terms that can be used today to understand our economic system. We live in a Plutocratic society with a captive capitalistic economy. This is all veiled skillfully behind mountains of propaganda and oceans of legalese, but the patterns are easily discernable when one begins to recognize them and how they affect the social condition.

Since 1913, the Federal Reserve System has increasingly and exclusively commanded the printing of the national currency, the setting of interest rates, and the manufactured inflation. Before this, there were only a few other times when the government or people allowed the existence of a private central bank. Primarily these were times when the government needed lots of money very fast. The existence of these banks was synonymous with exorbitant inflation. When the government no longer needed or accepted them, they were consistently shut down. The argument over central banks is older than the nation and was contested by nations in Europe before the American Revolution. Over the centuries, the central banking model has dominated nations and set itself into a position of international economic control, able to dictate its will to various nations and create wealth or poverty within its dominion based on the agenda it alone determined and had knowledge of. The formation of the coalition opposing England and mercantilism gave the people of the colonies a chance to live outside the dominion of these economic parasites and tyrants. Even among the signers of the Declaration of Independence, though, there were proponents for central banking, like that of Alexander Hamilton. On the other end of the spectrum were those that despised central banks and understood the importance of not allowing the presence of international private banking influence and central banking practices.

“The insidious crime of secretly or surreptitiously altering the monetary laws of a State— than which no more dastardly or fatal blow can be dealt at its liberties — is not a new one. There is a suggestion in the decree of B. C. 360, concerning the ancient iron money of Sparta, that Gylipus was not unfamiliar with this grave offence.”

“Before the Discovery of America private coinage was everywhere suppressed; and the essential prerogative of Money became vested and centered in the various crowned heads who governed the states of Europe. It was not long after that great event, when avidity awoke to new life over the spoils of a plundered Continent, that attempts were renewed to snatch the prerogative of Money from the State. This time it was not the

truculent noble, who impudently claimed a right that had once belonged to the Caesars and boldly exercised it in defiance of the Crown, but the sneaking billoneur, who stealthily sought to acquire it through the arts of falsehood, intrigue, and forgery. Such were the crimes of 1666, 1742, 1870, and 1873."

"In 1542 the Spaniards commenced shipping silver to China and India, from Acapulco in Mexico, by way of the Phillipines. When Potosi became prolific these shipments amounted to £200,000 a year. By this time the value of a pound weight of gold in the coinages of India had been raised to 9 pounds weight of silver; in other words, the coinage ratio between silver and gold was 9 for 1. The British East India Trading Company, formed in 1600, at once sought permission from the Crown of England to export silver to India. The policy of England had always been opposed to the melting or exportation of any portion of its Measure of Value. Penal statutes, prohibiting such melting or exportation, had been enacted in 9Edw'd III., c. 1.; 2 Hen. IV., c.5; 5 Rich. II.; 17 Rich. II.; 2 Hen. VI., c. 6; 17 Edward IV; 4 Hen. VII., c. 23; and 19 Hen. VII., c. 5."

"In accordance with this policy, Queen Elizabeth refused the request of the Company... However, permission was given them to import new silver from foreign parts, which silver might be struck into coins at the Mint for their special use (after due payment of seigniorage), with further permission to annually export a limited sum of coins thus struck."

"In 1613 the Company obtained a charter with extended powers; and its numbers, wealth and influence having greatly increased, it made several attempts to enlarge its privilege of export, one of which attempts was discussed in the Star Chamber, 1639, but without success to the Company. With the downfall of Charles I., the Company was almost extinguished. Its aggressiveness and avidity had procured it many enemies, and rendered it so unpopular that in 1655, Cromwell annulled its exclusive privileges and declared the Oriental trade open to all Englishmen.

"Two years later the Company's influence with the Council of State was sufficient to induce the Protector to renew its monopoly. In 1662 Charles II. confirmed this renewal and, for a corrupt consideration, permanently established this Company of money-changers, privateers, filibusters and bullies. From that year dates a new order of men in England"

"The Estates formerly consisted of the Crown, the Church, the Lords and the Commons. To these were now added the financiers, or Billoneurs, who have since almost entirely swallowed the others. Originally the financiers consisted of 215 monopolists under the title of the East India Company: they now comprise the entire world of money-changers and bankers. This cosmopolitan band threatens the peace of mankind."

"...the Production of silver has always been, and if not disturbed by legislation would always be, far more steady than that of gold. Its gradual demonetization is therefore without any apology either in the manner of its finding or production... it has been the result of intrigues which originated and have continued to emanate from the city of London, a place in which there are neither gold nor silver mines but a plentiful accumulation of "financial" and commercial shrewdness."

"I would not have it inferred from these remarks that I prefer silver to gold for a general Measure of Value. A general or universal Measure of Value is a chimera invented by the bankers of Threadneedle Street to foist their Metallic scheme upon the world and render their city the centre of a system of cosmopolitan Barter. A national Measure of Value, consisting of silver metal ("free coinage" system), is but little better than one of gold metal. No metal, as such, can measure value with precision or equity. This is what Money

alone can effect; and if there were no question of policy in the matter, I should advocate a monetary system independent of metals. But the monetary question is a practical and political one. We cannot ignore history; we cannot ignore the status quo; and as the status quo is a complex metal and paper system based upon history, law and practical politics, the most that can be done is to reform it in the interest of the government, that is to say, of the people. For the present I would advise a return to the coinage laws prior to 1873 and the retirement of bank notes, to be replaced by greenbacks. These reforms will not only benefit the great mass of our people, they will save the commercial classes from what will otherwise end in widespread bankruptcy and perhaps even more serious results. Unfortunately the commercial classes are too greedy to accept reforms that do not promise them unfair advantages."

- Alexander del Mar, "A History of Monetary Crimes" – American political economist, historian, numismatist, author, and first Director of the Bureau of Statistics at the U.S. Treasury Department³⁹

To synthesize this excerpt, we can see there are a few significant points made regarding the historical markers that made it possible for the Federal reserve to exist. Firstly, the creation of the East India Trading Company in 1600, which was composed of 215 monopolists, and their initial reward of a partial charter in 1662 to export silver. This allowed the company to deliver silver to India, exchange for gold at a 6 ounce of silver profit, and rebuy gold with the profits. This was limited only to the caps on the charter. Which makes our second point. In 1666, the company was rewarded an unlimited charter to export metals. Thirdly, del Mar, over 200 years after the fact, makes a strong statement, that "From that year (1662) dates a new order of men in England". He is making the case that from the time of Charles II onward, the state of England has been changed and controlled by "this Company of money-changers, privateers, filibusters and bullies". He is saying that central bankers overtly took control of the economy through their charters to export the gold and silver of the nation for personal profit. They created a bulwark for which the state could not return to their prior condition. He is also saying that this was the moment in which the planning of panics (economic depressions) was put into plans for the first conceivable time. Bankers were now allowed to print money and control monetary systems, rather than kings, through the coinage law in 1666.

"But their (bankers/monopolists) main argument was supplied by the East India Company, who were anxious to ship silver to India in exchange for gold, a transaction that at that time afforded a profit of cent per cent (100%). Behind this argument there was bribery of the court officials, of the king's mistress, Barbara Villiers, and even of the king (Charles II,) himself. After several years of intrigue, the merchants finally succeeded; and in 1666 was enacted that "free" coinage law which practically altered the monetary systems of the world and laid the foundation of the Metallic theory of money. The specific effect of this law was to destroy the Royal prerogative of coinage, nullify the decision in the Mixt Moneys case and inaugurate a future series of commercial panics and disasters which down to that time were totally unknown."

"After a century of unexampled prosperity and brilliant progress in England, due... to the stimulus afforded by the influx and coinage of silver from Spanish America, the English Parliament was so stupid or criminal as to pass the East India Company's Mint Bill in 1666 and thus permit the country to be drained of its Measure of Value by a band of adventurers. Some of the disastrous consequences that ensued have been eloquently described by Macauley; but nobody thought of repealing the Act and nobody thinks of it

yet; the profits of the Bank of England and other banks of issue standing in the way. It was in the midst of the first drainage of silver to the Orient, when the coins of England were clipped and sweated to two-thirds or one-half their original weight, that the American Colonies were first brought face to face with the great subject of Monetary Law. It need hardly be said that this was a subject concerning which they were profoundly ignorant. The early trade of the Colonies had been effected by barter, but by the last quarter of the 17th century the population had grown too numerous, widespread and differentiated in occupation to render such an archaic system of exchange any longer practicable.”

“So early as 1652 (October 19) the province of Massachusetts found it necessary—for it was no mere act of wantonness or of profit-seeking by the colony—to defy the Royal authority by erecting a Mint and striking Pine Tree shillings. These were to contain 662/3 gr. fine silver, the same as the actual circulating clipped shilling of England, though not the same as the theoretical or minted shilling of the Commonwealth, which should have contained about 853/4 gr. fine.”

“From this moment began in America a contest between Barter and Exchange, between Capital and Blood, between the Plunder of the Seas and the Credit of the Colonies, between the Metallic product of slavery and the Fiduciary issues of a free people, that has not yet ended and that never will end until the principles which Aristotle distilled from the republics of antiquity have again asserted their vitality in the halls of legislation. These principles were revived in the Mixt Moneys case in 1604. They were affirmed by Bastiat in 1840: “Exchange is political economy; it is society itself, for it is impossible to conceive of society as existing without exchange, or exchange without society.” They were again affirmed by Destutt Tracy in 1870: “Society is in fact held together by a series of exchanges.” And they will be again and again affirmed until they are nailed inseparably to the Constitution of every free State in Christendom. “Exchange is a social act; money is a social mechanism; it is a public measure of value, the unit of which is not one coin, nor one note, but all the coins and notes of like currency under the law of each nation when added together; money to be equitable must be of stable volume; stability can only be secured by national authority and limitation; if you want prosperity you must trust the national government to conserve the Measure of Value; if you fear to trust the government, you may indeed preserve the size of the coin in your pocket, but you cannot secure the profits it may earn for you; and persistence in this course will force ruin upon others and probably upon yourself.”

- Alexander del Mar⁴⁰

The comparisons we can make concerning what occurred between the moneychangers of 1666 and the Federal Reserve money changers of 1913 to today are many. One thing to see here is del Mar’s comment that “nobody thought of repealing the Act and nobody thinks of it yet; the profits of the Bank of England and other banks of issue standing in the way.” If you replace the Bank of England with the Federal Reserve... nobody thought of repealing the Act and nobody thinks of it yet; the profits of the Federal Reserve and other banks of issue standing in the way. It’s too easy. It is the most obvious things that go so often missed. The Bank of England was founded in 1694. Less than a century later, at a time when information moved slower than people today will be able to imagine, the Colonists in America rose up in Revolution. This revolution was fueled by the possibility of regaining the monetary sovereignty that England itself had lost in 1666. It did not work in the end, except to relieve the colonists of slavery to English Mercantilism and central banking, only to be caught in the midst of American Mercantilism and central banking. The Federal Reserve is simply a chapter in the success story of the ancestors of the bankers of the turn of the 20th century. The real final goal being to dominate and control global

economic activity and assets. We can see it clearly though, that had the Federal Reserve not been formed, the bankers (monopolists) would have simply pushed for a central bank again in 1930 or 1950, when they were better collected, wealthier, more deeply engrossed in the political system, etc. At this point, approaching the problem of central banks legally is not a solution. The likelihood of abolishing the Federal Reserve through legal means is nearly impossible. Their methods are many and their moral and ethical limits are fictions dreamed up by their loyal slaves, the taxpaying statist.

“If we survey the entire history of money... it divides itself into five distinct periods. First, the Pontifical-royal period, which lasted from the earliest times to the epoch of the Greek republics... money was coined exclusively in the temples, and stamped with the sacred emblems of religion. Second, the Republican period, when money was controlled by the senates of Sparta, Clazomenæ, Byzantium, Athens, and Rome. Third, the Pontifical-imperial period, when the coinage was assumed by the Caesars, and so regulated by them that for thirteen centuries its essential features remained substantially unaltered. Fourth, the Kingly period, when the princes of the West, having freed themselves from the dominion of Rome, seized the coinage prerogative and exercised it independently. Fifth, the period of Private Coinage, when the goldsmiths and merchant adventurers chartered to trade with and despoil or conquer the orient, obtained control of the royal prerogative of coinage, and thus opened the door to the last of degradations, Private Coinage. This period has not yet ended.”

“What has been the result (of the bankers taking over the monetary system)? From the day when the royal voluptuary resigned a prerogative which, more than any other one, pleads for the continence of kingly rule, to the present time, the commercial community has been subjected to alternate epochs of monetary contraction and expansion, in which much of what it accumulates at one period is insidiously filched from it at another... The suspensions of banks of issue therein shown involved losses to the note-holders and others amounting to more than all the gold and silver money in the world several times over. Not only this; the surrender of the prerogative of coinage has tended to estrange the Crown from the People, whose disappointment has manifested itself in many painful symptoms.”

“These tremendous powers (to coin money) have been wielded with such inadequate perception of the equities and consequences they involved, with such lack of scientific or financial skill, and in so narrow and selfish a spirit, that its arbiters have repeatedly plunged the commercial world into bankruptcy, and confiscated or inequitably redistributed its accumulated earnings, either for their own benefit or else to save themselves from the effects of their own blundering.”

“...(the) Mercantile system of Great Britain...encouraged the import and discouraged the export of the precious metals from England. It was evident that with money, the supplies of which were subject to the power of a distant sovereign and an apathetic ministry, the orderly development of the colonies was impossible, and hence followed those efforts to establish at first a silver coinage and afterwards a paper system of their own, which led to the contentions between them and the Crown that distinguished the latter half of the 17th and first half of the 18th century. The bitterness arising from this source was enhanced by the contraction (depression/panic) of 1750...”

“Soon after this abortive attempt to introduce private promissory notes into the circulation, the Colony of Massachusetts resolved to relieve “the scarcity of money and

the want to an adequate measure of commerce” by issuing its own Bills of Credit to the modest extent of £7,000. This was done February, 1690.”

-Alexander del Mar⁴¹

“Money exists not by nature but by law”

– Aristotle

In 1774, England passed the Stamp Act, requiring the colonies to buy paper from the Crown with silver and gold. This was done to force the colonies to relieve themselves of the silver and gold they were using to back their bills of credit and to form their coinage. The Crown intended for the Colonists to simply acquiesce.

“Among the first act of the Massachusetts and the Continental Revolutionary assemblies, was the emission of paper money and the teeth of the Royal prerogative, and this was done while yet the Colonies had no fixed determination of separating from the mother country.”

-Alexander del Mar⁴²

Thus did the colonies respond, by issuing paper money, as the cost of the purchasing paper from the Crown was theft of their gold and silver resources. England did not send armies to the colonies over spilled tea, or a minor whipping for tax evasion. The armies of the largest empire on earth landed in America to punish the colonists for their refusal to participate any longer in central banking, mercantilism, and their own extortion. Oddly, del Mar also comments, that the colonists were not thinking seriously of separating themselves from England, but rather they simply wanted to do what was necessary for their survival and wellbeing, that which was not being provided for by the Crown. Their need became a refusal, a refusal became a war, a war that ended in the emergence of a nation with the potential to evade the central bankers schemes. This new nation failed. It succumbed to the bankers as easily as the British had only a few generations before it.

The argument against private central banks was well understood by many people in the first half of this nation’s history but this wisdom was degraded with time and extreme influences by international banking interests. At the start of the industrial revolution, the nation was mostly agrarian, and farming accounted for the vast majority of the national wealth and labor. After 160 years, we have become a nation of less than one-one hundredth agrarian labor and the ownership of the land has moved more and more away from the public and into the hands of the private super wealth. During the industrial revolution, the owners of the private industrial powerhouses used economic and government influence as well as social influence to force the public into believing they would profit more by working in terrible conditions in factories than by working as farmers. As more laborers moved to urban factories, these private owners began to build their fortunes and their influence to ever greater heights. After J.P Morgan influenced the copper market and the Knickerbocker Trust Corporation to manufacture the recession of 1907, the public clamored for the creation of a stable public central bank. They were still aware of the dangers of private interests and were vehemently opposed to the industrialists and private bankers who were considered to be villains and enemies of the public. With this understanding, the meeting held at Jekyll Island was organized, the Federal Reserve Act was written by the industrialists and bankers that arrived there, and soon after that bill was presented and passed in Congress and signed by the President, Woodrow Wilson. This all happened in the most secretive and insidious manner. Instead of calling this by its real name, they chose to give it a name that would trick the population into believing

the new banking system was a government bank, which is what the population was largely clamoring for, to provide stabilization to the economy. The Federal Reserve was and is a private banking cartel owned by the private industrialist and banking families and entities that have been consolidating control over Europe and the rest of the world for centuries. In 1913, this control extended to the United States. We have been under the financial, and thus the social, control of the very private and very exclusive owners of this private bank called the Federal Reserve, for over a century. Very few people are alive today that were alive at the signing into law of the Federal Reserve. But today we can look at the patterns and we can see these institutions, even when blind to the more insidious aspects, do not work. The Federal Reserve was a promise to correct for inflation and be a guard against market volatility. It has been a force for the exact opposite. It has done absolutely nothing of any good for a single individual outside of its own organization, and when we look at the grand stage of the holistic system that we live in, they have harmed their future as much as anyone else. When this planet becomes unsuitable for human life, they may last a while in bunkers, but they will not last forever.

“Every system has groups that benefit from it, and hence have no desire to change it. There is probably no country in the world that has changed its economic, social, and political system in a significant way without a crisis. It is the crisis that convinces citizens and interest groups of the need for change... The examples of the Japanese and Asian crises illustrate how crises can be engineered to facilitate the redistribution of economic ownership, and to implement legal, structural, and political change... From 2004, under the ECB’s (European Central Bank’s) watch, bank credit growth in Ireland, Greece, Portugal and Spain increased by over 20% per annum, and property prices skyrocketed. When bank credit fell, property prices collapsed, developers went bankrupt, and the banking systems of Ireland, Portugal, Spain, and Greece became insolvent. The ECB could have prevented these bubbles, just as it could have ended the ensuing banking and economic crises. But it refused to do so, until major political concessions had been made, such as the transfer of fiscal and budgeting powers from each sovereign state to the European Union... The deliberations of the ECB’s decision-making bodies are secret. The mere attempt at influencing the ECB, for instance through democratic debate and discussion, is forbidden, according to the Maastricht Treaty. The ECB is an international organization, that is above and outside the laws and jurisdictions of any individual nation. Its senior staff carry diplomatic passports, and the files and documents inside the European Central Bank cannot be searched or impounded by any police force or public prosecutor... The world over, central banks hold significant, yet little understood powers. Often independent, unaccountable and obscure, central banks operate in the shadows, yet their actions affect us all. To create a public consensus for the need for structural reform by purposefully creating a recession, and then needlessly prolonging it, must constitute an abuse of power. Do citizens really want to be manipulated in such a costly and dishonest manner?”

- Princes of the Yen: Central Bank Truth Documentary⁴³

I am going to discuss two different solutions that seem to compete with one another on the outset, but when linked together in an appropriate timeline and series, make it possible to access even greater rewards than either done exclusively. The first is a way of utilizing central banks to eliminate bad assets and empower banks to expand credit and thus empower the economy for real producers. The second is a way of creating real money with intrinsic value. Both of these solutions are pointless if we do not decentralize. If the power to regulate the economy, print money/currency, and dictate policy is centralized and privatized, the unavoidable result will be the destruction of the economy, the

enslavement of the population, and the removal of holistic representative governance, if such a thing could exist regardless.

Central banks create money out of thin air. It's as simple as that. Three theories of banking have been in existence for at least one century. The first is the Financial Intermediation Theory. This theory says that banks act as financial intermediaries that simply lend from deposits made. The Fractional Reserve Theory says that banks lend money out of reserves. The final theory, the Credit Creation Theory says that banks create money from nothing at all.

“Economists say that banks are deposit-taking institutions that lend money. Banks don’t take deposits and they don’t lend money. They don’t take deposits because they borrow from the public. A deposit suggests something held in custody, a bailment, and this is not what happens. Instead, the money that you lend to the bank, which they call, erroneously, a deposit, is actually their money. They own it. Its just a loan. You’re a general creditor. Banks never lend money, unlike firms and non-bank financial institutions. They are in the business of purchasing securities. When you get a loan, the loan contract is a promissory note, just like the bank of England Promissory note, of course not legal tender, as you may have noticed, but the bank will purchase that security from you. That’s what it does. And now it owes you money, and it creates a record of the money it owes, which we call deposits, and that’s how the money supply comes about.”

“So you get a loan, a £1,000 lets say. The loan contract is purchased by the bank. It increases the banks assets and the bank then records the money it owes you, which it calls slightly incorrectly, a customer deposit, because no customer has deposited this and in fact nobody has deposited this anywhere. And its not transferred anywhere inside or outside the bank. It’s created out of nothing. These fictitious deposits are our money supply. So, banks are special. They create the money supply. 97% in most countries, of the money supply, out of nothing through the process of what’s called credit creation.

-Prof. Richard Werner, “Today’s Source of Money Creation”⁴⁴

The Central Banks create 3% of the real money supply. 97% of money creation occurs through bank lending. Credit Creation means that a commercial bank produces loans for customers out of nothing. The money is not taken from some other account or from prior deposits by other customers of that bank or any other. The bank literally creates money from nothing. Legally there is no such thing as a bank deposit. When you deposit money in a bank, you are actually loaning that money to the bank as a creditor. The receiving bank owns that money exclusively upon receipt, and it is no longer owned by you, even if it was yours prior to the “deposit”. You aren’t depositing money, rather, you are borrowing money to the bank. This money is not then lent to other customers, as banks do not participate in loaning money as intermediaries. When a bank “loans” you money, you are signing into an agreement to issue a security, or debt instrument, to the bank. The bank purchases this security from you, and thus the bank owes you money for the product that you are selling them. This is the deposit, which is a record of debt owed to you by the bank, and the fake “customer deposit” for the lender, which is you. This is the process by which the money supply is created. It means that the money comes into existence when the banks create “customer deposits”, when people make issuance of debt instruments, purchased by the bank, to the commercial banks. Another way to see this is, if I have a dollar, and you the reader are the bank. I want to deposit my dollar into an account I make with you. I sign a contract with you, which says that I release ownership of my dollar upon “deposit”. When I come back to take out a loan, I sign another contract with you that says that you are purchasing a security I’m issuing to you, and that you owe me a certain sum for that security. It means that we are transacting on debt. I am offering you a

financial instrument, and you are purchasing that for a cost of whatever “loan” amount has been agreed to. You then create a “customer deposit” of that amount, which can be used in the real economy. You did not have that money to begin with, nor was it transferred to you. It simply appeared at the behest of the transaction of the debt instrument.

“...when banks create credit for the real economy, but its used for business investment in the creation of new goods and services, productive investment or implementation of new technologies. That creates growth without inflation and without crises... So why haven’t the central planners at the central banks ensured that? Well they have in some countries but not in others... (The question is) really centralization versus decentralization. Should this decision be made by central planners or in a decentralized market-based fashion. Germany... has been very successful, its economy for 200 years, and its exports are often larger than Chinese exports, although the population is only 6 percent of the Chinese population. How is that possible? If you look at market champions... companies that are number one, two or three in terms of market share globally and hidden champions of small firms that are global market leaders, global champions... Germany has the largest number of hidden champions. Small firms that are global market leaders by far of any country. Most exports from Germany are from small firms, family-owned businesses. Well, its because of the community banks... in Germany there’s 1500. It’s the largest number of banks in the European Union. Big banks lend to big companies, small banks lend to small firms. Germany has lots of small banks. Decentralized decision-making that’s been highly successful. 70 percent of banking are with not-for-profit community banks. We already have public money creation, in the form of local community banks... So, that’s unique in the world and that’s delivered high growth without the bubbles. (Central Banks) admit they’ve been telling porkies... and now they propose to increase their power. So central bankers belatedly admit... banks create the money supply, so let’s abolish that now and also by the way, lets abolish cash... introduce digital cyber currency that central bank’s issue and control and thereby gain total control over all economic transactions, decisions... The greatest concentration of central banking power in history is really the bid they’re aiming at... and of course digital accounts of dissenters could be switched off. (It would) be very difficult to even purchase necessities. This is an Orwellian dystopia of total control. The end of any freedoms. That’s really what central banks are aiming at. Several central banks... have already prepared their microchip implant RFID chip to be implanted under your skin... The overarching trend of the 20th century is concentration of power in the hands of the few... We don’t want to have these unaccountable central planners making decisions. We need decentralization. The solution therefore is to maintain public money in the hands of local community banks... Give local people the power in the form of local public banks and local not-for-profit community banks... We shouldn’t really abolish banks. We just have to get rid of the too-big-too-fail banks, introduce a few rules; that you create credit for productive purposes, and then we can solve many of the world’s problems.”

-Prof. Richard Werner, “Today’s Source of Money Creation”⁴⁵

Near the conclusion of WWII, the US Dollar become the reserve currency at the UN monetary conference held in Bretton Woods, New Hampshire. 44 countries agreed to fix their currencies to the US Dollar which was tied to gold at \$35 per Ounce. Countries could trade their currencies for US Dollars, which could then be exchanged for gold. Meaning all of these currencies were backed by gold. When

countries exchanged for gold, the gold was stored in the US for “safekeeping”. This applied to foreign countries and central banks.

Post-war spending in the US was remarkably high, especially with its war effort in Vietnam, and other countries became worried that the US didn't have enough gold to back its spending. They began exchanging for gold and demanding physical delivery of that gold to their country. To prevent this, Nixon suspended the gold convertibility system on August 15, 1971. Without the gold backing, countries ran perpetual deficits. From 1971 onward, the US has never run a surplus and has sustained only by perpetual stimulus. All currency became backed by nothing, i.e., fiat currency. Fiat is based in government promises and perpetuated through force.

“Money is a medium of exchange and the way it has evolved is that it's always something of intrinsic value, until the modern age, when the politicians say, well we don't need anything of intrinsic value anymore. All we need is political decree. We can say this is money, this piece of paper is money. Now money has a new characteristic, but underneath it all there's the same concept in place that nobody ever seems to challenge. That governments have a right to declare something of no value to be money and you must accept it. That's really the problem... it's destroying the economies of the world.”

-G. Edward Griffin, *Gold & Dollar: How Money Became Worthless*⁴⁶

As there is no fixed rate of value to gold, the U.S. government can spend and take out loans at its whim. It has nothing to limit its borrowing but its own moral or ethical inhibitions if it is even knowledgeable enough to understand the mechanism anyway.

Allow me to discuss the composition and other aspects of the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve is composed of 12 Regional Federal Reserve Banks. Each bank has its own balance sheet. And the Federal Reserve total balance sheet is the sum of the balance sheets of each of its member banks. The 12 Regional Banks aren't Government institutions, but corporations nominally “owned” by member commercial banks. Commercial banks are privately owned businesses trying to earn profits primarily by lending money to other businesses and to individuals. The FOMC (Federal Open Market Committee) is the monetary policymaking body of the Fed system. It is composed of 12 members, including the seven members of the Board of Governors and five of the twelve Reserve Bank presidents, though all Fed bank presidents attend FOMC meetings and participate in discussion and assessment of the economy. Its meetings are held eight times annually. Meeting minutes are released immediately, though Transcripts, Exhibits, and Documentation provided to attendees prior to the meeting are only released 5 years later. The Fed produces Reserve Dollars, which cannot mix with Bank dollars. Reserve dollars may influence and direct the creation of Bank dollars though. The two systems operate independently but the effects that they have on each other is reflective of the intent of the Federal Reserve.

Currency was not backed by treasuries until 1932. The currency was 40% backed by gold, and 60% by commercial paper (short term IOU's issued by American businesses). The 60% backing by commercial paper disappeared. Government IOU's took over (treasuries). From 1932 to 1935, it shifted to 40% gold, 60% IOU's. After this, gold was getting shipped out of the US by international bankers to such a degree that the currency could no longer be backed by gold. Instead, as of 1935, currency has been backed by 100% US Treasuries. Treasuries represent the “Full Faith and Credit” of the US Government, or in real terms, “we the people”. It means that every time the government takes a “loan” out from the Federal Reserve, it is the people that are indebted to the Fed, while the government operators relieve themselves of any responsibility, while ensuring their pockets are fully lined.

We have allowed the existence of the Federal Reserve and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) to our extreme detriment. These entities have no real authority under the constitution though most of the

population just acquiesces to their demands. Why is it that you need a social security card? Social Security Numbers (SSN) were first assigned in 1936, to make it possible for these two entities to track the earnings of the people, while the Social Security Administration (SSA) tracked taxes for the purpose of redistribution of funds to benefits for workers. That doesn't sound so bad, right? Well, the general pattern seen here is the increasing bureaucracy, centralization of information and power, and the forced application of a tracking number to every individual upon birth. The Federal Reserve loans currency to the federal government, with interest, while the IRS is the agency that facilitates unconstitutionally extorting direct taxes from the people, shifting wealth constantly from the people to the shareholders of the Federal Reserve.


	2019				2020				2021		
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3
Current receipts	5,808.5	5,906.8	5,908.8	5,965.9	5,966.9	5,631.8	5,931.2	6,072.3	6,301.8	6,577.0	6,758.3
Current tax receipts	3,985.2	4,062.7	4,059.7	4,110.8	4,080.6	3,815.0	4,040.9	4,149.1	4,353.5	4,565.1	4,689.8
Personal current taxes	2,169.3	2,222.8	2,205.2	2,223.2	2,241.6	2,099.0	2,181.8	2,259.8	2,412.1	2,532.5	2,623.7
Taxes on production and imports	1,504.8	1,520.9	1,547.4	1,556.3	1,577.1	1,460.5	1,544.0	1,557.0	1,580.1	1,636.3	1,654.7
Taxes on corporate income	284.4	291.7	279.6	303.9	233.3	229.3	288.5	304.0	331.9	366.9	381.2
Taxes from the rest of the world	26.6	27.4	27.5	27.4	28.6	26.1	26.6	28.3	29.4	29.3	30.3
Contributions for government social insurance	1,413.0	1,419.8	1,427.2	1,446.5	1,477.2	1,410.8	1,463.7	1,506.5	1,539.2	1,577.7	1,613.2
From persons	1,407.6	1,414.6	1,422.0	1,441.4	1,472.0	1,405.9	1,458.7	1,501.3	1,533.8	1,572.2	1,607.6
From the rest of the world ¹	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.2	4.9	5.0	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.6
Net government saving	-1,183.6	-1,167.6	-1,231.4	-1,213.4	-1,292.5	-5,072.0	-3,582.6	-2,188.3	-4,100.6	-2,484.0	-2,051.1
Current expenditures	6,992.0	7,064.4	7,140.2	7,179.3	7,259.4	10,703.8	9,513.8	8,260.6	10,402.5	9,060.9	8,809.4
Total expenditures	7,165.6	7,243.4	7,319.8	7,418.4	7,470.8	10,910.4	9,706.2	8,471.9	10,790.8	9,245.7	8,994.2
Net lending or net borrowing (-)	-1,333.9	-1,315.5	-1,389.9	-1,430.9	-1,480.4	-5,249.6	-3,749.5	-2,374.2	-4,462.4	-2,641.4	-2,193.1

* Bureau of Economic Analysis, 2021⁴⁷

*In the national income and product accounts (NIPAs), personal current taxes include tax payments on income (including realized net capital gains and net of refunds), tax payments on personal property, and payments for personal licenses by U.S. residents.⁴⁸ (Bureau of Economic Analysis, 2010)


Federal Debt Held by the Public, 1900 to 2050

Percentage of Gross Domestic Product



Source: Congressional Budget Office.

Debt Held by the Public under Two Policy Simulations



Source: GAO and GAO analysis of Congressional Budget Office data.

Before the 2008 and 2009 financial crisis, The Fed's only assets on their balance sheets were U.S. Treasuries, under one trillion T-Bills in total. The assets were treasuries (IOUs from US government (we the people) to the Fed) and the liabilities were the same amount of currency in circulation, federal reserve notes. Treasuries are IOUs plus interest, and Currency is IOUs without interest. This creates an imbalance in the balance sheet.

In 2009, the Fed began a type of quantitative easing program and took assets not issued by the Treasury onto its balance sheet. Mortgage-backed Securities. When the Fed began buying mortgage-backed securities, they were backed up by the FFC (Full Faith and Credit) of the US Government. Federal Reserve's corresponding liability to MBS was balanced by excess reserves. The Fed credited the Federal Reserve accounts of the commercial banks who had accounts at the Fed. Then the Fed began crediting accounts of entities other than commercial banks, perhaps the US Treasury and foreign central banks.

If the Fed wants to shrink the balance sheet... It goes on the market and tries to sell MBS and takes a severe loss likely. Rather than the Fed being responsible for the balance sheet losses, it is up to the US Government (i.e. you the taxpayer) to cover the loss and Treasuries are then used to fill the gap made by the Fed's liquidation of worthless assets (MBS).

This all means that fundamentally, you the taxpaying slave of the corporate government and international banking cartel must pay (through taxes) the debts (Fed loans to US government) that can never be fully paid because there isn't enough money in the world to pay the debts plus interest. In

other words, you are at the bottom rung of a global ponzi scheme that has no interest in your wellbeing, only that you continue to pay taxes (otherwise known as debt collection) to an agency that is neither part of your government or any other, nor possessor of any value for which to back their currency, making it only as valuable as its use determines. If nobody used these Federal Reserve Notes, the notes would be less than worthless, they would be a massive liability to the Fed. The Fed would have no authority at all. As long as you continue to use this valueless paper and accept the responsibility of debt payments to the IRS (Federal Reserve collection agency, medium through government), then you continue to accept, support, and perpetuate the rulership and dictates of this private global cartel of “money-changers, privateers, filibusters and bullies”, as del Mar rightfully and gently put it.

The reason why the stock market is going up is pretty simple: the economy is doing well, earnings are rising, and the Fed has basically said they're on hold for quite a while.”
 -William “Bill” Dudley, 10th president and chief executive officer of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Jan. 29, 2020⁴⁹

“(Fed assets are) on hold according to Dudley... Well, heavens to Betsy! Who knew that the Fed being on hold for quite a while would mean it would take 107 years for the Fed to get the balance sheet to 4 trillion dollars, and it would take 107 years and 3 months to get the balance sheet to 7 trillion dollars... Ladies and gentlemen, it appears we have a dollar for dollar match between the most recent blast of QE (Quantitative Easing) by the Fed on the one hand, and its evil twin M2 in the real economy on the other hand. In other words, Bill Dudley is simply a straight up liar... The stock market goes into free fall on February 26th (2020) and the Fed wastes no time coming to the rescue one week later on March 4th and adds a cool 83 billion dollars to its balance sheet. Two weeks after that before any lockdown in any state in the U.S. you have on March 18th, the Fed has added already half a trillion dollars to its balance sheet. And guess what, after that the Fed packs on another 2.5 trillion dollars to its balance sheet and the market gets back up to where it was... Bill Dudley telling you that reserves don't leak out and Fed doesn't, you know, try its damndest to pump up the stock market, that's just a straight up lie... the Fed single handedly injected 2.8 trillion dollars of bank money into the real economy... but something doesn't add up here. The last time I checked, there's 160 million stimulus checks had gone out. Even at 1200 dollars a check, which I know that it wasn't 1200 dollars a check, some people got partial checks, but even for the full amount that comes to 192 billion dollars. That's not even .2 trillion dollars. As we saw, like I said, the Fed added 2.8 trillion dollars in M2 (Bank Money) to the economy by itself. And that's going on against the backdrop of a bunch of mainstreet businesses, millions of them, flat on their back, that are otherwise viable businesses, that are about to go bankrupt as a result of this pandemic and be picked up for pennies on the dollars... and you don't see the Fed's fingerprints all over this so-called pandemic?”

-John Titus, The Fed's Silent Takeover of the U.S.⁵⁰

Let's consider this for a moment. The Federal Reserve has the ability to create infinite amounts of currency by clicking buttons on a keyboard. This currency can be used to purchase Treasury bonds from the U.S. Treasury, which is called a loan, and is traded bearing interest. Other nations also purchase Treasury bonds. The money from these IOU's that government is issuing, is used on paying for things within the country, and paying off past IOU's. Larger and larger “loans” must be taken out from the Fed to cover the costs of the principal and the interest, which makes it impossible for the government to EVER cover these debts. So, the Federal Reserve is creating debt within the taxpaying population,

because the government is greedily and obsequiously borrowing currency from the Fed, with ever increasing losses due to ever larger loans, and ever larger liabilities. It is a circle of insanity. AND IT IS NOT BY ACCIDENT OR IGNORANCE!!! IT IS INTENTIONAL!!! One other note to an oddity. The injection of money into the economy was supposed to be in response to coronavirus. Why then did the Fed inject over half a trillion dollars into the market by March 18, 2020, when lockdowns hadn't even begun or been deemed necessary. These things do not just happen. 500 billion dollars does not just happen. It requires justification that will never come from the Fed or the government, because those who know why it was happening were the same people that attended and coordinated event 201, the economic plunge, the lockdowns, the mainstream media propaganda, the otherwise media censorship, the unscientific dictate of mask wearing, etc., etc., etc.

“The fact of the matter is that the Federal Reserve is a private institution... when the fed adds assets on to its balance sheet like it is doing now. Taking dodgy assets onto its balance sheet, you the taxpayer are on the hook when that asset valuation implodes into nothing... in the last ten to twelve years, astronomical asset valuations by private bankers at Wall Street bankers have fueled hundreds of billions of dollars in bonuses. You got none of that money. That is not your role. Your role in the Federal Reserve system is to absorb losses. You are the chump. You are the sucker. The Federal Reserve is here to facilitate your absorption of those losses and that's going on right now as the Federal Reserve is adding bad assets onto its balance sheet, where, when the depression hits with full force, you dear taxpayer are going to eat the loss, not the Wall Street bankers who are already paid on those assets. They already got their bonuses. That money was cashed a long time ago. That's not your role.”

-John Titus, Kicking People When They're Down⁵¹

Here is a six-step plan for the correction of our national and global economic systems. While it not overly specific, neither is it created to leave out any critical steps. The process can be quite painless economically speaking actually, but the likelihood is that, in its death throws, the beneficiaries of the current economic model would do everything and anything in their power to prevent this, including but not limited to, manipulating countries to engage in needless wars.

Step 1- Central Banks purchase non-performing assets from the commercial banks.

While central banks may not be apt to do this if they were aware of the totality of this plan, this step is not farfetched in the slightest. In 2009, the Federal Reserve purchased the bad assets that were plaguing the commercial banks in the country. Seeing as central banks are making this purchase with reserve dollars, the effect on the real money economy would be negligible, unless post-purchase manipulations occurred within the central bank to disrupt the real money economy.

Step 2- Stop issuing government bonds. Commercial banks issue loans to government, creating credit. Bank lending creates money supply.

When the bad assets have been purchased in their entirety by the central banks, the commercial banks can then, in full relief of their debts, be able to create credit, and thus, money, and inject it into the real money market through loans to the government and public. Rules would need be applied here that disallow the practice of lending for financial assets, and rather that credit is created specifically for productive businesses that would inject these loans into their production, in ways that would increase their ability to produce. This would prevent inflation as it would balance the loans with growth from the borrowing entities and thus the ability to fulfill the loans. Banks are now beholden to the lending

arrangements at the core of the new agreements, which is to support local business creation, and local economy empowerment.

Step 3- Public audit of the Federal Reserve

This step is extremely important. Before we can abolish the Federal Reserve, we must have a 100% transparent audit of its books, with unbiased 3rd parties overseeing. All documentation must be released open source to the public at large, so that we may see what damages have been done and apply the correct treatment and justice in every sector.

Step 4- Abolish the Federal Reserve, illegalize private central banks, and jail the criminals

Here we follow the logical trail, that after auditing the Federal Reserve, we have confirmed the undeniable and verified proof of criminal activity by the entities and individuals who engage in central banking. It is this point in which we demand recuperations and justice. In this process, we also have discovered the criminal actors that operate through large financial institutions that have conspired with the Federal Reserve to the detriment of the people. This would include those at BlackRock, Inc. and The Vanguard Group among others. I spoke on the appropriate application of justice earlier in this book and how a fraud against a single person amounts to certain justice, and the fraud of millions would be correctly more heinous and require greater measures of correction.

Step 5- Reimplement the Gold and Silver Standard


As we no longer permit and allow the existence of private central banks, and we have become more decentralized and localized, we can now move to the revaluation of our currency, and again peg it to gold and silver. A public audit here will have to be conducted as well to determine how much gold actually exists within the United States, and how much was appropriated after the criminal organization of the Federal Reserve was relieved of their holdings. Many countries around the world, not part of the core of central banking monopoly have been acquiring 100's of tons of gold, and it will be relatively easeful to bring about a gold standard, just as it was relatively easeful for Nixon to dispose of it for nations around the world in 1971. We are now able to back our money with intrinsic value, limit government growth and power organically, and provide a historically stable medium of exchange.

Step 6- Encourage gold and silver purchases by general population

Finally, we encourage the people of nations around the world to acquire gold and silver for safekeeping and savings. These savings can be passed generationally and used in times of need to balance any unforeseen economic challenges. All may enjoy the stability and prosperity of this new decentralized economic system, where small, local banks offer more appropriate economic management.

"If banks mainly lend to companies that invest in the expansion of their equipment to produce more goods and services, you will get non-inflationary growth, and that is the growth that is also sustainable. Then you know you are not going to have a banking crisis."

- Richard Werner, On Quantitative Easing and Central Banks, 2015⁵²



On Private Global Monopoly and Financial Dominance

The top financial institutions in the world are run by a constantly shifting (like musical chairs) pool of top tier executives and managers that are often hired based on social positioning rather than particular skill. The pool of potential hires to any of these institutions is exceedingly small, and of those that are able to be hired, the probability that there is a conflict of interest (whether recognized as such or not) and that they profit from the uncompetitive nature of the globally conglomerated interests is extremely high. Power in finance is built in the same way that power in politics is built. It is through positioning, perception, alliances, and coercion. In capitalism, businesses compete. This is fundamental to a capitalism. This includes banks, which are businesses like any other, only that, currently, their product is debt instruments like Federal Reserve Notes, mortgages, loans, lines of credit, credit cards, bonds, and debentures. The problem is that we are, of course, NOT a capitalism. One of the most evident reasons for this is the intertwined nature of the government and the global financial institutions.

Between three investment corporations, BlackRock, Inc., The Vanguard Group, and State Street Corporation the ownership portfolio includes top investment shares in GlaxoSmithKline, Pfizer, Johnson & Johnson, Moderna, Novartis, Abbott, Merck, BioNTech, Bayer Pharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, Time Warner, Comcast, Disney and News Corp. (90% of the U.S. media landscape), The New York Times, Reuters, Google (inc. YouTube and Android), Facebook (inc. Instagram and WhatsApp), Twitter, Apple, Microsoft (inc. XBOX and Bing), Skyscanner (inc. Trip.com and Expedia), Airbnb, Amazon, eBay, Alibaba Group, BP, Shell, Exxon, Total, Glencore, BHP Billiton, Rio Tinto, China Shenhua Energy, Anglo American plc, John Deere, Cargill, Phillip Morris, R.J. Reynolds Tobacco, Ford, GM, Toyota, Volkswagen, Samsung, Dell, Philips, Sony, Mastercard, Visa, Western Union, PayPal, American Express, Viacom, JP Morgan Chase & Co., Bank Of America, Goldman Sachs, Barclays, Wells Fargo, BBVA, Vodafone, McDonalds, PepsiCo, Coca Cola, Netflix, ATT, Tesla, FedEx, American Airlines, and United Airlines. This is a small and incomplete list of the ownership portfolio of these three corporations. "BlackRock and Vanguard form a secret monopoly that own just about everything else you can think of too. In all, they have ownership in 1,600 American firms, which in 2015 had combined revenues of \$9.1 trillion. Bloomberg reported that these companies will exceed \$20 trillion by 2028, potentially matching or exceeding the GDP of the nation, and having monopoly ownership of nearly every industry. When you add in the third-largest global owner, State Street, their current combined ownership encompasses nearly 90% of all S&P 500 firms. Vanguard is the largest shareholder of BlackRock. Vanguard itself, on the other hand, has a unique structure that makes its ownership more difficult to discern, but many of the oldest, richest families in

the world can be linked to Vanguard funds... The elite who own Vanguard apparently do not like being in the spotlight but of course they cannot hide from who is willing to dig. Reports from Oxfam and Bloomberg say that 1% of the world, together owns more money than the other 99%. Even worse, Oxfam says that 82% of all earned money in 2017 went to this 1%... In other words, these two investment companies, Vanguard and BlackRock hold a monopoly in all industries in the world and they, in turn are owned by the richest families in the world, some of whom are royalty and who have been very rich since before the Industrial Revolution.” -Joseph Mercola, 2021

Gross National Income is often a more proper indicator of financial wellbeing of a group than GDP. The US GNI in 2020 was \$21.3 Trillion USD (\$24 Trillion USD by Sep. 30, 2021) while the entire world GNI in 2020 was \$84.7 Trillion USD. This means that the United States makes up a full quarter (25%) of GNI of the entire world, despite having only 4.25% of the global population. The next two nations are China at \$14.6 Trillion USD and Japan at \$5.1 Trillion USD. Together, these three nations, with 1.85 billion people (23% of global population) account for 41% of the global GNI.^{53/54/55/56} This means the shareholders of The Vanguard Group, amidst their collaborators for total monopoly, have as much wealth, that can be tracked, as the GNI of the United States, equal to 25% of the global GNI. That, my friends, is insane.

The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the Open Society Foundation, and the Clinton Foundation, are all non-profit corporations that are used to avoid taxes, hide identities of those transferring funds, launder on immense scales and finance projects and people in the shadows. The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is the largest sponsor the World Health Organization, giving the Gates family and their collaborators immense political power at the WHO and in medical affairs globally, as national medical programs and mandates are designed by the WHO. Bill Gates himself is the son of philanthropists and eugenicists, his father, William Henry Gates II being fundamental to eugenics programs after the public collapse of this belief system following WWII.

The World Economic Forum, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, and Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health organized the Event 201 on Friday, Oct 18, 2019, in New York City, which simulated a global pandemic of a novel zoonotic coronavirus that becomes transmissible between humans, and even more transmissible between people with mild symptoms. The World Economic Forum, founded by Klaus Schwab in 1971, openly confesses its ultimate goals are to bring about a centralized global governance and economy, controlled by a select group of institutions and individuals. The “Great Reset” to “Build Back Better”, is a WEF program intended to bring about the vast wealth and power transfer from the people of the world to a ridiculously small group of controllers. It is succeeding.

The Board of Trustees of the WEF are:

Mukesh D. Ambani (Chairman and Managing Director, Reliance Industries); Marc Benioff (Chair and Co-Chief Executive Officer, Salesforce); Peter Brabeck-Letmathe (Vice-Chairman of the Board of Trustees, World Economic Forum); **Thomas Buberl (Chief Executive Officer, AXA); Mark Carney (United Nations Special Envoy for Climate Action and Finance, United Nations); Laurence D. Fink (Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock);** Chrystia Freeland (Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Finance, Office of the Deputy Prime Minister of Canada); Orit Gadiesh (Chairman, Bain & Company); **Kristalina Georgieva (Managing Director, International Monetary Fund (IMF)); Fabiola Gianotti (Director-General, European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN));** Al Gore (Vice-President of the United States (1993-2001); Chairman and Co-Founder, Generation Investment Management LLP); **Herman Gref (Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board, Sberbank);** André Hoffmann (Chairman, Massellaz); Paula Ingabire (Minister of Information Communication Technology and Innovation, Ministry of Information Communication Technology and Innovation of Rwanda); **Christine Lagarde (President, European Central Bank);** Yo-Yo Ma (Cellist); Peter Maurer (President, International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC)); **Luis Alberto Moreno (Managing Director, Allen & Company);** Patrice Motsepe (Founder and Executive Chairman, African Rainbow Minerals); **Ngozi Okonjo-Iweala (Director-General,**

World Trade Organization (WTO)); H.M. Queen Rania Al Abdullah of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan (Queen of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, Office of Her Majesty Queen Rania Al Abdullah); L. Rafael Reif (President, Massachusetts Institute of Technology); **David M. Rubenstein (Co-Founder and Co-Chairman, Carlyle)**; Mark Schneider (Chief Executive Officer, Nestlé); **Klaus Schwab (Founder and Executive Chairman, World Economic Forum)**; Tharman Shanmugaratnam (Senior Minister, Government of Singapore); **Jim Hagemann Snabe (Chairman, Siemens)**; Julie Sweet (Chair and Chief Executive Officer, Accenture); Feike Sybesma (Chairman of the Supervisory Board, Royal Philips); Heizo Takenaka (Professor Emeritus, Keio University); Zhu Min (Chairman, National Institute of Financial Research).

While all of the names listed are significant, the ones in bold are noted here, as they hold particular significance as financial institutions or otherwise particularly interesting institutions, including the WTO, which primarily acts as a tool to force nations into submission to the global financial collaborators. Particularly noteworthy is Larry Fink, who's multinational investment management corporation is soon to be the largest financial entity in the world. Its total assets are greater than that of the Federal Reserve. Larry Fink created and popularized Mortgage-Backed Securities trading, which led to the 2008 economic collapse. Interestingly, he was the one who was called upon by the U.S. Government and the Federal Reserve to advise on what actions they ought to take to correct the depression. Fink's answer resulted in the government guaranteeing the commercial money-market funds, thus bailing out the largest financial institutions in the United States at the expense of the taxpayer and dishing out huge bonuses to already extremely wealthy executives, who were tremendously responsible and culpable for the economic collapse due to their dealings in dangerously high-risk assets.

“Along with Lew Ranieri, of Salomon Brothers, he (Larry Fink) would be credited with developing the multi-trillion-dollar debt-securitization market that transformed the face of finance. By 2008 this market—of mortgages, and car and credit-card loans, purchased from banks, sliced into pieces, repackaged, and sold to thousands of investors—would help bring the economy to its knees. But long before it spiraled out of control, it was considered an incredible innovation. Looking back, Fink says, ‘We were able to narrow the cost of housing in America.’ Recalling how “rewarding” it felt ‘going to Washington to talk with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac about mortgage opportunities,’ he says, ‘even in my 20s, I felt there was an enormity to what we were doing to help.’”


– Suzanne Andrews, *Vanity Fair*, 2010⁵⁷

So, while the dark knight of the plutocracy, Larry Fink, was coordinating the wealth transfer of 2008 and beyond, the shareholders of The Vanguard Group, the owners of BlackRock, were enjoying the show and their accrescent portfolios. Here we ought to describe how a seemingly small share percentage could afford true and total ownership of a corporation. It starts with circular ownership.

Circular Ownership is “a particular method to structure business entity ownership. Here, two or more entities in a legal structure part-own each other, ultimately resulting in a “circular” nature to the ownership structure. Furthermore, one or more of these entities may be owned and controlled by an individual, who, on the surface, appears to hold a low or minority percentage that would not meet ownership thresholds requiring disclosure or due diligence investigation, when in actuality that low percentage ownership belies actual control indirectly. By setting up a circular ownership structure, companies are able to mask true ownership and control through various and sometimes complex ownership loops. Circular ownership structures may take several different forms. For example, in

some structures, all direct and indirect owners are inside a singular ownership circle... The primary subject entity is both the 100% owner of its ultimate parent entity and of its parent entity, in other words, the subject owns itself. This closed-circle structure makes it impossible to identify the beneficial owners of any entities within the circle (First Diagram)... Another example of circular ownership is an open-circle structure where one or more beneficial owners are external to the ownership loop and appear to own a low percentage of shares, while in reality, these individuals may ultimately own a larger percentage of the entity. Below is a simplified example, where the sole individual in the structure ultimately owns 100% of the entities, even though it appears she only owns 3 percent. The result is that while she falls under any thresholds requiring due diligence or beneficial ownership reporting, in actuality, she is 100% the owner of all involved entities (Second Diagram)... The result of utilizing either of these circular ownership structures can be that the ultimate natural person owner(s) are masked through this complexity.”

- Steele Global, *Exploring Circular Ownership in Compliance Investigations*, 2020⁵⁸



- * Steele Global, *Exploring Circular Ownership in Compliance Investigations*, 2020⁵⁹

The exact shares owned by any entities are consistently shifting, so it is not particularly useful to pinpoint them exactly, but The Vanguard Group institutionally owned (as reported in only the top 10 holders) 12,175,676 shares at a value of \$10,211,252,434 on September 29th, 2021, and in various mutual funds 8,354,989 shares valued at \$14,323,663,348 reported between August 30th and September 29th, 2021. This is a total of roughly \$24.5 billion invested in BlackRock, Inc., which yet amounts to a total share percentage under reporting requirements for beneficial ownership. BlackRock has similar ownership of Vanguard, and each has this same model of ownership of thousands of major corporations in every industry, making the beneficial owners of The Vanguard Group the most powerful collaborative group in the world. The model of circular ownership, intermixed with the use of trusts wrapped in foundations, wrapped in for profit corporations wrapped in trusts, and so on, makes the discovery of the beneficial owners of The Vanguard Group and other corporations, particularly challenging. “State Street Corporation is an American financial services and bank holding company...with operations worldwide. It

is the second oldest continually operating United States bank... State Street is ranked 15th on the list of largest banks in the United States by assets. It is one of the largest asset management companies in the world with US\$3.9 trillion under management and US\$43.3 trillion under custody and administration.” And who owns State Street? The same owners of Vanguard and BlackRock is who. The question that must be answered, and quickly, by the people of the United States of America and of the world, is whether all of the wealth of this world will be allowed to leave them for the acquisition of total monopolized control of the world by the small group of plutocrats, robber-barons, authoritarians, moneychangers, and psychopaths that work every day to bleed them dry of all sovereignty, resource and wellbeing.

The average person is generally a decent person who is interested in generally benign activity. They are not thinking about how to take away other peoples power or resources. They do not believe they are better than their neighbors to the degree that they think their neighbors are subhuman. They are satisfied with simple lives of peace and prosperity, of challenges met and overcome, and of raising a family.

It is for this very reason, that people aren't psychopaths, that it is virtually unattainable for them to truly comprehend that our entire global species is ruled, manipulated, and controlled by psychopaths. How can we expect people who are decent, peaceful, loving and gentle to understand the depths of the diseased souls that are in a perpetual craving for dominance, power, control, territory, money, and are willing to do absolutely anything to achieve those ends. Not just Hillary Clintons hitlist, but the genocide of group after group around the globe. Who can really blame the average person for not understanding? Well, I can. I do. I blame all of us. The perpetrators and perpetrated even the resisters and avoiders. We can all do better. We owe it to ourselves, our people, our past, our future, and our legacy.

“The real menace of our republic is the invisible government, which, like a giant octopus, spawls it's slimy length over our city, state and nation. At the head is a small group of banking houses generally referred to as ‘international bankers.’ This little coiterie of powerful international bankers virtually run our government for their own selfish ends.”

- John F. Hylan, NYC Mayor, Mar. 26, 1922

But, of course, the rabbit hole goes further down. The Bilderberg Group is an exclusive alliance of industrialists, bankers, politicians, monopolists, business leaders, media conglomerate presidents, and military leaders who identify, sometimes publicly, sometimes privately, as Fabianists, and have been meeting annually at locations around the globe since their first meeting May 29-31, 1954, in Oosterbeek, Netherlands. These meetings are generally composed of about 120-130 attendees, though the consistent core of the group is about 80 members. The Bilderbergers claim that the purpose of their meetings is to be able to discuss opinions amongst other powerful people without having to be constrained by the media attention, giving its members the ability to speak freely about any topic. The Bilderberg Group was founded, in part, by Prince Bernhard of Holland, acting as the host and first president, and who was an officer in the Reiter SS Corp and a board member of Farben Bilder (subsidiary of I.G. Farben) which endeavored to produce and maintain a group of wealth-building leaders under the protection of Heinrich Himmler.⁶⁰

It is difficult to re-educate people who have been brought up on nationalism to the idea of relinquishing part of their sovereignty to a supra-national body.

- Prince Bernhard

Many of the Bilderbergers are directly linked with the Nazi's, such as David Rockefeller and Allen Dulles. The BIS (Bank of International Settlements) was created to launder Nazi gold that they stole from the nations they invaded. This bank is maintained by Bilderbergers, along with all other central banking and international banking structures. Some of the most influential Bilderbergers are/were Henry Kissinger, David Rockefeller, Zbigniew Brzezinski, John Kerr, and Józef Retinger.

The Bilderbergers, in their literature, state their policy as avoidance of direct involvement in actions they agree upon. Instead, they choose to project influence onto others who then steer the project toward the outlined goal.

NATO was formed after it was agreed upon at Bilderberg that it was necessary to have an international policing system, a military, which no government could overrule, and which could act as the coercive arm of the industrialist agenda.

The Bilderbergers are not the only supra-national organization in the world, though they seem to be one of the core of the many that exist today. There is also the Round Table, the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission, the Club of Rome, The World Bank, the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the European Union (EU), the United Nations (UN) and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), the World Health Organization (WHO), Organization for Economic Cooperation & Development (OECD), World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF), the World Trade Organization (WTO), the International Criminal Court (ICC), the G20, and many others. What about the agencies and institutions that support globalism despite their nationality? We have the Tavistock Institute, the Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA), Dartmouth Conference, the Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies, RAND Corporation, the Atlantic Institute, the Hoover Institute, Johns Hopkins SAIS (School of Advanced International Studies), the Brookings Institution, the Hudson Institute, Institute for Policy Studies (IPS), the Institute for Social Research, the Institute for the Future, Stanford FS Institute for International Studies, Open Society Foundations, the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, the American Enterprise Institute (AEI), and on and on and on. Looking at Treaties that dictate to the world and walk all over national and personal sovereignty, we have the "WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control", Feb. 27, 2005, which set precedence for global health dictation, as the first world public health treaty. We also have the Nuclear Weapons Ban Treaty (TPNW), Jan. 22, 2021, which was only signed and supported by countries that were non-nuclear anyway. Oh yes, and we have the USMCA, the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement, which replaced NAFTA with almost no significant changes, even though President Donald Trump railed against it as a failure of American negotiation and sovereignty. Its almost like he said that because it was his job to appear opposed to the globalist agenda, while in actuality being truly opposed to the very people who are hailing him as a savior, similar to the very same hailing being done toward President Obama when he was president. The ultimate goal with these free trade agreements, is to iteratively increase the boundaries of the agreements, until the entire world is in one perpetual free trade agreement, with one administrative body, and ruled by one global charter.

RAND National Defense Research Institute focuses heavily on misinformation and manipulation of large populations, and is funded by foundations controlled by CFR, such as the Ford Foundation. It is literally focused on developing techniques to use doubletalk to confuse and inhibit the people. The Tavistock Institute was created in 1946 and was the arm of the British Round Table that dealt with developing behavioral manipulation in individuals and populations. The Tavistock programming method is used to manipulate individuals and entire populations to reduce them to imbecilic, anxious, paranoid, neurotic, and ineffectual slaves. This method includes isolating a subject, surrounding them with traitors, enemies, or simply making them believe they are surrounded, confusing them, leaving them uncertain of where they stand and what may happen, vacillate between extreme discipline and promises of good treatment, provide a constant stream of contradictory news, make the cognitive structure of their situation unfathomable, and incite a constant redirection of attention to prevent them from being able to keep up with whatever is actually occurring. Between the RAND Corporation, the Brookings Institute,

the Stanford Research Institute, the Hudson Institute, the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS) and the others, the Tavistock programmed-learning techniques have become standard in the US to indoctrinate the American people into an apathy toward a shift to global governance and commerce, and to inculcate “strife and unrest.”

The Council on Foreign Relations was co-founded by the Marxist, Edward Mandell House, (Woodrow Wilson’s chief advisor 1913-1921) who proposed private central banking, graduated income tax (16th Amendment), and wrote the draft for the League of Nations. Another founder of the CFR was Paul Warburg, the intentional banking mogul. The CFR is funded primarily by the Rockefeller Foundation, the Carnegie Foundation, and the Ford Foundation amongst others. CFR headquarters is the Harold Pratt House in New York City.

The CFR, like Bilderberg and the Trilateral Commission, has a dominant core of conspirators and planners who dictate to those in the periphery of the group, who often hold very public, very powerful positions, their roles and duties. This compartmentalization gives the core people plausible deniability and makes it nearly impossible for anyone outside of the core to discern the true goals of any action or how they truly fit into the agenda. In this way, the very few control the very many.

The Trilateral Commission, established in 1973 by David Rockefeller, is composed of the American, European, and Pacific-Asian regions. Each of its roughly 350 members serve three-year terms. It was founded to promote and work toward global government by inviting 3 major regions of globalists to interact and form policy and design the new world order. Each of these regional control centers, through the global central banking cartel, has subjected nations in their controlled regions as modern-day plantations, from which they source cheap labor, goods and services. The US has Latin America, The EU has Southeast Europe and Africa, and Japan has Southeast Asia.

“The influence of establishment insiders over our foreign policy has become a fact of life in our time. This pervasive influence runs contrary to the real long-term national security of our Nation. It is an influence which, if unchecked, could ultimately subvert our constitutional order. (In favor of the creation of a New World Order.)”

– Senator Jesse Helms, Senate Floor, Dec. 15, 1987

The public is fed the lie that parties or other political figures or entities, governments, nations, corporations, and public figures of all types, are conflicting and that these people’s differences are important, carry weight, and give them choice. The fact is that this is entirely manufactured. The differences and conflicts are made to distract from the perpetually active agenda of the global government proponents and organizations. The Hegelian Dialectic describes the method used to distract, manipulate, and control societies. It is the process of problem-reaction-solution, or in other words, it is when government uses a black flag event to generate chaos, responds to the supposed “attack” with a proposal to resolve the matter if only people give it more power over them, then resolves the supposed attack, while in actuality it is simply stripping away the sovereignty of the people of the world piecemeal. The method used most often is the manufacture of a crisis (whether real or imaginary), and then using the premade and exclusive solution to that problem as an axe over the heads of the target people and nations, a threat against which those nations are then forced to accede, and which then binds otherwise uninterested nations together in common purpose, against a global threat, economic, political, terrorist, or medical in nature.

The goal is to create ever more dependency on supranational organizations, that would supersede democratic authority, especially in Congress, State and local governments. To avoid rebellion by populations, they intend/work to centralize power in Congress and decrease expectation in college education resulting in meaningful or worthwhile careers. This reduces specialized and advanced abilities in the wide population. They limit freedom of the press by imposing government restraints with claims

of “unspecified unusual circumstances,” reinstating the “law of libel,” and “enforcing standards of professionalism.” Even PBS is owned by Bilderberg/CFR corporations and members.

The Logan Act in the United States absolutely prohibits elected officials from meeting in private with private business interests to discuss public policy. This is completely ignored by the Bilderbergers and other organizations and pretty much across the board in American politics. The amalgam of politicians, bankers, military leaders, corporate powerhouses, and international supranational organizations is so knotted together that it would be impossible to separate them without needing 10 times the amount of people to fill roles. These globalists are often holding multiple positions in various sectors simultaneously, such as a military advisor to the government also being on 5 corporate boards each having nothing to do with the military, while acting as a honorary professor at a number of universities, and running a political campaign for office. In this instance, it is so very obvious the number of conflicts of interest this type of lateral involvement could create. This is the very same activity that is occurring amongst the several thousand members of the globalist effort, the core of which is maintained by an exceptionally small number of key players who operate mostly in the shadows, despite the fact that they are common names.

“The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government ever since the days of Andrew Jackson”

– Franklin D. Roosevelt, Nov. 21, 1933

These organizations members have maneuvered global politics and commerce over the last century, but the origins of the principles to which these people adhere is far older. As you will read later, monopolists have been encroaching upon the realm of finance, commerce, and politics for centuries. The transition of financial dominance from royal prerogative to bankers has been occurring at least 500 years, and in many ways for much longer. Today, we are facing the final stages of the plans of the monopolists of the world, which is total global control. It has not replaced royalty, but rather entwined with it, so that the old powers were incentivized to allow the transition. The transition from royal prerogative over money to the private banking monopoly was facilitated by the increasing abilities and freedoms given to the enterprising, money-changing, business class of society. Centuries of pressure placed on monarchs and royals led to the eventual opening of the floodgates over the last 3 to 4 centuries in which the corporation was created, private banks have flourished (some may say conquered), and the dynamics of the social construction of humanity has shifted drastically anywhere one looks globally, so that those who were slaves and serfs could become millionaires, and those who were royals could become destitute, but the monopolists would remain. The real effect of this was that those who were most ambitious, greedy, and willing to do whatever it took to achieve, crushed the rest of the world on their way. The average quality of life has risen drastically in the last 150 years due to technology and the effects of certain liberating ideals, paralleling the massive population increase. It is these money-changers, monopolists, and corporate people that are now dictating to the world. They are the new authority and became so in such a silent manner so as to not rouse the populations they were taking control of. In the end, it was a simple transaction that has been taking centuries to complete. We are in the final stages of the transition to global control by the monopolist families who have been engaged in this effort for centuries. They view it as their inheritance.

Andrew Carnegie established the Endowment for International Peace shortly before his death, which had its mission to bind together international financiers and socialists in a union to create a League of Nations to enforce global peace. The endowments board of trustees created in Marburg Plan to accommodate for this mission, which led to the formal creation of supranational governments/companies. Every major event around the globe in the last 150 years has been influenced, funded, or orchestrated directly by globalists. The Russian Bolshevik Revolution was incited, in part, by

the Rockefellers, who's singular real competitor in oil globally was Russia's Tsar Nicholas II, who was modernizing and westernizing his nation. When, after the revolution, the new leaders, Vladimir Ulyanov Lenin and Leon Trotsky, were designing the new Communist State, they parroted the desires of international monopolists by declaring their motives as to create a total state-controlled financial system, centralizing power and control and eliminating personal liberties. Trotsky even declared himself an "internationalist." John D. Rockefeller, Paul Warburg, and J.P. Morgan, amongst other conspirators, were largely responsible for the Bolshevik success. Through Wall Street banks they supplied millions of dollars in funding, gave sanctuary to Trotsky in the U.S. and Lenin in Switzerland, as well as returned them safely to Russia in 1917. President Woodrow Wilson facilitated at every opportunity the collapse of the Romanovs, and the subsequent communist power grab. His predecessor, Theodore Roosevelt, mediated the peace negotiations between Russia and Japan which resulted in Russia's loss of enormous land holdings, its Eastern and Baltic fleets, and left Russia seriously, and intentionally, weakened. This weakened Russia was just what was needed for the Bolsheviks to rouse the population to revolution and was the precursor to all the destruction and suffering that followed, including the genocidal actions of Stalin, and even opened the way for Nazi Germany.

For much of the last century, Rockefeller's Chase National Bank has been funding and thus upholding, Communist Russia, not to mention funding the Nazi's and financing practically all parties of all wars to encourage ever greater crises followed by ever greater centralized control. The Rockefellers have been instrumental, though not alone by any means, in the destruction of liberty, free markets, and national sovereignty over the course of four generations, each inheriting more global control than the last.

It takes a non-static society to exceed the boundaries of its day. A static society is one that is controlled. "A growing, competitive, and free society gives new people a chance to make their fortune and replace some of those already at the top. So, legislation is promoted to restrict entrepreneurial effort and tax away capital accumulations not protected in the tax-free foundations of the Establishment insiders. Every effort is made to press a medium-sized business to the wall and allow it to be swallowed up by the Establishment giants." -Gary Allen, *None Dare Call it Conspiracy*. It is a profitable, abundantly successful, and expanding middle class that leads to revolutions. It is not the destitute that drive revolutions, but the ambitious, hopeful and successful, who see their potential as being limited by current regulations or boundaries, and have the strength in spirit, the education, and the communal integrity and trust to take action to defy them. This is why lockdowns occurred and why the pandemic was manufactured. It was to destroy the class of society that includes the hopeful, the energetic, the independent, and those that threaten the monopolist's position. It is these people that were attacked the last 3 years.

The Real ID (national ID) is a step toward the North American Union (NAU) which is the American version of the EU, with RFID chips with biometric identifiers. Also, part of this movement towards regional government is merging collective consciousness beyond national, creating a common currency, which is the Amero, and forming free trade treaties that erode and disintegrate borders and sovereignty over time. Soon, there will be no independent small business, no sovereign nationality, no real money, and no affirmed rights. Is that a world you want to live in?

*"They (Globalists) have sacrificed our dreams, our independence, and our self-sufficiency
at the altar of their New World Order."*

– Daniel Estulin, *The True Story of The Bilderberg Group*

Monopoly of power and control is the goal. Whatever leads to that total monopoly is what will be utilized by globalists. The most successful method to their success is the tried and proven method of creating a crisis, then propagandizing their exclusive ability to resolve it. They are masters at controlling the minds of the masses. It is potentially the most funded aspect of the globalist agenda. The organization of globalists is so tightly controlled a group, that any dissent from members of its

institutions can be handled by nullifying their assets and through sabotage and assassination, as well as blackmail. Blackmail today can be done completely artificially. Realistic prosthetics, voice changing technology, and compromising footage, and boom, you got a real nice piece of blackmail. Everything is dictated by an inner core and executed by a more or less willing participant globalist cadre.

Over the last century, nearly all media sources with any significant reach, and even those that don't, have been acquired by the ever-growing umbrella companies that dominate the planet. From Rupert Murdoch's, Fox network to Disney Corp., all the media is owned by one group of collaborators, who all can be identified by reading the member lists of the Bilderberg meetings, the CFR, and the Trilateral Commission. We can conclude very simply and obviously that any media on the radio and television, and most provided on major internet outlets are controlled and dictated to by this very small group of conspirators that proclaim themselves privately and publicly as the owners of the earth.

Every president and political personage express exactly what the people want to hear. That is their job. They are the masters of the stage, the orators, the image of popular strength, the holy state, the figurehead, the ornament on the hood. They are there to do the bidding of their real masters while making it appear that they are doing the exact opposite, serving the people. They are the most successful liars. While they lie, they have one other job, which is to fill as many governmental roles as possible with globalists, sign off on all globalist agendas they are presented with, and do everything in their power to extend the globalist plan for global dependency, the end of the nation-state and national identity, and the eradication of personal liberty. There are no political persons outside of the control, influence, and orchestration of the globalist organizations.

China is actively practicing what the globalists wish to achieve. A state-controlled pseudo-capitalism. Welfare reform and Regulation are methods used to concentrate state power. Today, the Chinese people live in a absolute controlled environment. They are the guinea pigs of the state, which is the only permitted god, and they are made to focus on insignificant things while they are dictated to in every way, watched at all times, and are on the path to a full technocracy. Overt social systems will soon be in place in China, that disallow even the smallest of privacies. The rest of the world is watching and are not far behind.

Globalists, following the along the ideological lines and reasonings of Brzezinski, are motivated out of a desire to control humanity within a general expectation of how humanity is organically evolving and adapting to technological advances that are fundamentally altering their experience of life. They see it as their duty to manage the affairs of humanity as they have either inherited or acquired authority, power, wealth, or influence, by which they can dictate or suggest the course humanity must take. These self-appointed and continuously reaffirmed controllers and managers of humanity are encouraged to believe and act on their belief that what they support is for the good of the world as they are consistently rewarded with supporting masses of people. It does not concern them that some die, or even if most die, because it is relatively relegated to intellectual and self-preserving consideration rather than empathetic or humanistic considerations. The globalist policies, actions, and organizations are all aimed at producing the highest benefit to that social group, and lesser degree, the members of the population that enforce and maintain their control, at the detriment of all others.

There are two names that appear time and time again when researching globalists, and these are Henry Kissinger and David Rockefeller. The influence that these two people have/had on the world is enormous. They have, for many decades, supported in any way possible, the creation of a one world government and are responsible directly for innumerable human lives lost, human suffering, societal collapses, wars, slavery and geopolitical struggles. Certainly there are a large number of other individuals involved, but rarely does anything occur that doesn't have David and Henry sitting in the background whispering in the ears of those on camera. The ties between these globalists are stronger than acknowledged, and they are yet small enough in numbers and organized enough that managing those ties and maintaining them is quite an easy task. One such tie is that Klaus Schwab's professor at Harvard

happened to be Henry Kissinger. Kissinger was a mentor to Schwab. Kissinger's entire life is geared toward the bringing about a one world government/one world company. Schwab's World Economic Forum is explicitly focused on actualizing that very thing. The WEF is member funded, with costs of attendance running between \$19,000 and over \$600,000. Obviously, an event at those prices, with its specific theme, will be hosting only those who are tied into globalism at this point, and thus give them the opportunity advance their common agenda without political backlash. Main Goal? How to get the people to accept their own slavery, and even beg for them it?

Here is a list of a small portion of current and former participants in one to all three; Bilderberg, CFR, TC: **Henry Kissinger (Former U.S. Sec. of State & National Security Advisor, Bilderberger, CFR, and TC); David Rockefeller (Chairman, Chief Exec., Chase Manhattan Corp. Founding Bilderberger, CFR, and TC);** Alexander Haig (Former NATO Commander, Former U.S. Sec. of State); Allen Dulles (Dir. CIA, Chairman Sullivan & Cromwell LLP, CFR); Laurence "Larry" Fink (Bilderberger, Chairman and CEO of BlackRock, Director, CFR); **Zbigniew Brzezinski (Former National Security Advisor, Founder of Trilateral Commission, Member CFR and Bilderberg, Professor Johns Hopkins SAIS);** Cyrus Vance (Former Sec. State and Former Dep. SecDef.); Paul Volcker (Former FED Chairman); Richard N. Haass (Bilderberger, Pres., CFR); Paul Wolfowitz (Former Pres., World Bank and Dep. SecDef and Dean of Johns Hopkins SAIS); **Peter D. Sutherland (Bilderberger, Founding Director General of WTO);** George H.W. Bush (Former President, USA, Former Ambassador to the UN, Former Dir. CIA, Member CFR and TC and Bohemian Club); Bill Clinton (Bilderberger, Former President, USA and Governor, Arkansas, Member, TC); Hillary Clinton (Bilderberger, Former First Lady); Richard "Dick" Cheney (Former SecDef and VP, USA, Director, CFR, Member, TC, Chairman and CEO, Halliburton, and member Board of Advisors, Jewish Institute for National Security of America); Jeffrey E. Epstein (Child Sex Slavery Mogul, Member TC); Queen Beatrix of the Netherlands (Daughter of Bilderberg Founder, Prince Bernhard); Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands (Founding Bilderberger); Queen Sofia of Spain (Bilderberger); Christine Lagarde (Bilderberger, Pres. ECB); **Klaus Schwab (Steering Committee, Bilderberg, Exec. Chairman, WEF);** Angela Merkel (Bilderberger, Chancellor, Germany); **Józef Retinger (Founder, European Movement and Bilderberg Group);** Jose **Manuel Durão Barroso (Steering Committee, Bilderberg, Former Pres., Euro. Commission, CEO, GAVI);** Kenneth Clark (Bilderberger, Former Chancellor of the Exchequer); Denis Healey (Founding Bilderberger, Former Chancellor of the Exchequer); **John Kerr (Steering Committee, Bilderberg, Former Ambassador to the EU, Chairman of Centre for European Reform, Exec. Committee, TC);** Margaret Thatcher (Former PM); Tony Blair (Former PM); David Cameron (Former PM); Timothy Geithner (Bilderberger, Former Treasury Secretary, CFR and TC Member); Jared Cohen (Bilderberger, CEO, Jigsaw, TC and CFR Member); Jared Kushner (Bilderberger, Senior Advisor to Pres.); **David H. Patreaus (Bilderberger, 4th Dir., CIA, Chairman KKR Global Institute, CFR and TC Member);** Robert Rubin (Bilderberger, Co-chair CFR); John Hickenlooper (Bilderberger, Former Gov., Colorado); **Jaap de Hoop Scheffer (Bilderberger, Former Secretary General, NATO);** Keith B. Alexander (Bilderberger, Commander, US Cyber Command, Dir., NSA); Philip M. Breedlove (Bilderberger, Former Supreme Allied Commander Europe); Emmanuel Macron (Pre., France); Paul Warburg (CFR); Ruth Bader Ginsberg (CFR, US Supreme Court Justice); Frank McKenna (Bilderberger, Premier, New Brunswick, Dep. Chair, TD Bank of England); **Mark Carney (Bilderberger, Gov., Bank of England);** **Henri de Castries (Steering Committee, Bilderberg, CEO, AXA);** Jean-Claude Trichet (Bilderberger, Former Pres., ECB); Paul M. Achleitner (Treasurer Foundation, Bilderberg, Deutsche Bank); Ana Botin (Bilderberger, Exec. Chairman, Banco Santander); Baron Edmond de Rothschild (Bilderberger, Rothschild Family Banking); Jorma Ollila (Bilderberger, Chairman, Royal-Dutch Shell); Josef Ackerman (Bilderberger, CEO, Deutsche Bank); Werner Baumann (Bilderberger, Chairman, Bayer); Joe Kaiser (Bilderberger, CEO, Siemens); Klaus Kleinfeld (Bilderberger, CEO, Alcoa); Jurgen E. Schrempp (Bilderberger, Former CEO, DaimlerChrysler); **Peter Andreas Thiel (Steering Committee, Bilderberg, Founder PayPal and Palantir Technologies);** Etienne Davignon (Chairman, Steering Committee, Bilderberger, Former VP, Euro. Commission); Jacob Wallenberg (Bilderberger,

Chairman, Investor AB, V. Chair and Treasurer, WEF, Member, TC); **Marcus Wallenberg, Jr. (Steering Committee, Bilderberg, CEO, Stockholm Enskilda Bank)**; Daniel Vasella (Bilderberger, Chairman, Novartis); Sam Altman (Bilderberger, President, Y-Combinator); Jeff Bezos (Bilderberger, Founder and CEO, Amazon); Bill Gates (Bilderberger, Chairman, Microsoft); Louis V. Gerstner, Jr. (Bilderberger, Former CEO, IBM); Donald E. Graham (Bilderberger, CEO and Chairman, The Washington Post Company); Reid Hoffman (Bilderberger, Co-Founder and Exec. Chairman, LinkedIn); Chris Hughes (Bilderberger, Co-Founder, Facebook); Alex Carp (Bilderberger, CEO, Palantir Technologies); **Henry Kravis (Bilderberger, Co-Founder and Co-Chairman and Co-CEO, KKR)**; Satya Nadella (Bilderberger, CEO, Microsoft); Eric Schmidt (Bilderberger, Exec. Chairman, Alphabet); Peter Mansbridge (Bilderberger, Anchor of The National, CBC); Conrad Black (Bilderberger, Media conglomerate owner); Zanny Minton Beddoes (Bilderberger, Editor-in-Chief, The Economist); Richard John Micklethwait (Bilderberger, Editor-in-Chief, Bloomberg News); Charles Rose (Bilderberger, Host and Exec. Producer, Charlie Rose Talk Show); George Stephanopoulos (Bilderberger, ABC News Chief, Washington Correspondent); **Rupert Murdoch (Bilderberger, Founder, Chairman and CEO of News Corp and Fox News, Member, CFR)**.

Again, this is just the smallest of possible lists of the heavy hitters involved in Bilderberg, the Trilateral Commission, the Council on Foreign Relations amongst all the other globalist organizations.

“We shall have world government whether or not you like it—by conquest or consent.”

– James Warburg (CFR founder Paul Warburg’s son, and braintrust to FDR), Senate Foreign Relations Committee meeting, Feb. 17, 1950

These people’s number one fear is “organized resistance”. Individual liberty is their enemy. The ability for their goals for a one world government to succeed relies heavily on the orchestrated ignorance and disbelief of the general population. The goals are to eradicate national identity, centralize control, eliminate general prosperity, maintain a constant state of duress to increase apathy and decrease drive, centralize education, empower the United Nations to the degree of total world governance, creation of a conglomerated western trading bloc, Expand NATO into all corners, emplace the International Court of Justice as the sole legal system for the world, monopolize violence and illegalize production and ownership of weapons, and enforce a socialist welfare state (Presidential Decision Directive No. 25 and Department of State Publication 7277). This agenda can only be accomplished if the people remain reliant on governments for their survival needs, whether in real terms or based on fallacious propaganda. Things that would impede their mission would be the widespread use of free or high yield-low impact energy technology, a well armed and well trained general populace, local regenerative food practices, the maintenance and increase of domestic production facilities, consistent commerce between small businesses domestic and foreign, free market capitalism, competitive domestic monetary systems and competing currencies, the abandoning of any state run education systems, the dispersal of people away from cities and their integration into self-sustaining lifestyles (off-grid living), trade unions, worker owned businesses, and local community organization.

The United States of America is a dream that was dreamed by people who saw the opportunity to be free and took it with iron and blood. They stated their position and stood against the tide of pressures that was applied against them. Then they cut their bonds, and when the might of their enemy crashed upon them, they organized, called for voluntary participation, and weathered the storm upon the great principles that all men are born free. They did not sit a wait. They did not expect handouts. They gave the moment its deserved attention. These dreamers were not delusional, but rather hopeful, willful, adamant, energized, and organized. They were guilty of sedition, treason, and were sentenced to death upon their signing of the Declaration of Independence. It is always so. Those who choose to defy the powers that be are those who are firstly and most likely to be attacked by those powers. The signers did nothing but see the problems, attempt a peaceful resolution, and when all attempts were spit on did

what they had to do. There has never been a nation in recorded history that did what the United States did. It enshrined our inherent natural rights, which gave power to the individual to seek, earn, enjoy, profit and derive a life equal to their effort. Of course, this was something intended to work continuously upon the people if it could not all be explicitly and immediately understood.

Now, we are seeing the ignorance of the people who tear away at their own protections against those who would enslave them and make their lives a torture, an apathetic quagmire, in which all meaning turns pale, God in any form is replaced by the state in its one form, and the world is owned, and the fist tightened to the point of strangulation. The measure of the soul of humanity is in its ability to rise above these encroachments upon its ultimate liberation. We are not animals, but divine creators. We are beings with choice. Currently, collectively, We the People are choosing apocalypse. Perhaps that is what is needed, but I do not think so. I think it is simply the awareness of the horrors, which requires empathy, that makes it possible for humanity to avoid the peril. But that's just me. It is up to you what you will do.

World events do not occur by accident: They are made to happen, whether it is to do with national issues or commerce; most of them are staged and managed by those who hold the purse strings.

– Dennis Healey, former British Defense Minister

The Trilateral Commission doesn't secretly run the world. The Council on Foreign Relations does that.

– Sir Winston Lord, Pres. Council on Foreign Relations, 1978, Assistant Sec. of State, Oder of Skull and Bones Member, *Aid & Abet*, Vol. 2, No. 2, Pg. 7

On Taxation

Taxation is definitely an important facet in understanding how our system is corrupted and has subtly and overtly enslaved/indentured the population. In the mercantilist system, which was the standard in Europe in the 18th Century, the colonies of Britain were taxed without consent. This means that the colonial peoples were never allowed to vote or oppose the taxation that was imposed on them. They were the subjects of theft by a government. Some of the taxes leading up to the American Revolution, were the Molasses Act, Navigation Acts, the Sugar Act the Stamp Act, the Townsend Act and the Tea Act. The Molasses Act imposed high tariffs on molasses from the West Indies, which led to the creation of some very wealthy colonists who became smugglers, like John Hancock. The Navigation Acts forced colonists to trade only with ships built in the British Empire, whose crews had to be crewed by $\frac{3}{4}$ British Subjects. Enumerated goods, such as fur, sugar, tobacco and indigo, from the colonies could only be shipped to Britain which would then trade with other nations. The colonies were even disallowed from trading certain goods between each other. Following the Seven Year War, Britain had to pay off war debts and increased colonial taxation. It created the Sugar Act in 1764, which imposed higher tariffs on sugar imports, and which brought tax enforcers to the colonies. Kangaroo courts prosecuted people who did not pay their sugar tariffs and informers were given $\frac{1}{3}$ of the cargo of any ship suspected of evading the import tax, leading to rivalries between colonial businesses, and made it possible for them to basically steal cargo from one another. The Stamp Act of 1765 made it a requirement to get a stamp on every paper transaction or legal document. The Continental Congress was formed as a result of this Act and resulted in the King repealing it. The Townsend Act created more import tariffs and allowed, through the Quartering Provision, British “tax collectors” and troops to stay in the homes of colonists while they audited their taxes. The 1773 Tea Act imposed higher tariffs on tea, except from the Dutch East India Trading Company. These taxes influenced the unrest in the colonies to the point that revolution followed.⁶¹

After the revolution, The Articles of Confederation were written, which had extremely small federal government and mostly regarded local governments free to cooperate and trade. If taxes needed to be raised, it was the purview of local governments. Central government was financed by tariffs, excise taxes, and land sales primarily. The Constitution outlawed direct taxes including income tax. Taxes were not apportioned to states based on population.

The average tariff rate in the 1850's was 15%. The day Lincoln took office, it was raised to 32%, then 49% one year later, and remaining that high until 1913. In 1861, during the Civil War, tariffs accounted for 95% of all federal tax revenue. In 1861, the southern economy was exporting 75% of all its produced goods, making it export dependent, with higher prices to produce, lower sale prices, and was heavily disturbed because the high tariffs were of benefit exclusively to the New England and northern manufacturers whose goods were protected from competition due to these tariffs. During the Civil War, the Lincoln led government ignored the Constitution and created the first income tax, calling it excise tax, for which the average American paid about \$45 annually through the Post Office. The Lincoln Administration also reinstated the Stamp Tax, instituted the first Inheritance Tax and the Federal Income Tax, created the first Internal Revenue Bureaucracy, created 185 Tax Districts for collection, created Withholding Tax, and created numerous excise taxes that continued after the war as well. The first Income Tax was applied to anyone who made \$600 annually or more, which is equivalent to about \$10,000 today, at a rate of 3%.⁶²

By 1880, Midwest farmers were so harassed by exorbitant tariffs that they saw as discriminatory and oppressive, they accepted and supported a 10-15% Income Tax as a better of two evils. The Revenue Act of 1894 was passed with an including Income Tax but was denied by the Supreme Court who ruled Income Tax Unconstitutional.

“When we consider that the theory of our institutions guarantees to every citizen a full benefits of all the fruits of his industry and enterprise with only such deduction for taxes as may be his share for the careful and economical maintenance of the government which protects him, it is plain to me that the exaction of more than this, is indefensible extortion and a culpable betrayal of American justice. This wrong inflicted upon those who bear the burden of national taxation multiplies a brood of evil consequences. A public treasury becomes a horde of money needlessly withdrawn from trade and the peoples use thus crippling our nation and suspending our countries development, preventing investment in productive enterprise, creating financial disturbance and inviting schemes of public plunder.”

-Grover Cleveland, Speaking on Budget Surpluses at the 3rd annual Message to Congress
1887

In the 1913 revolution, not only was a private banking cartel placed in command of the U.S. treasury, economy, and mint, but there was placed in law, and accepted by the Supreme Court, a Federal Income Tax. In response to the problem of budget surpluses from this tax, was the idea of increasing tariff rates until they strangled foreign trade altogether, inhibiting foreign goods from entering the country, and thus not taxing them, which would produce decreased revenues and surplus. In 1922, the average tariff rate was 33% and by 1930 the rate was 60%.⁶³

The ultimate consequences of this Income Tax were the reinstatement of debtor's prisons, total consolidation of government, Inflation Tax, and the 17th Amendment, which created direct election of Senators. It also generated a huge amount of money that could be used to purchase votes to keep certain people in power forever and made it possible for them to fundraise anywhere, rather than focusing on the people of their own state. Federal Government is *the* major infringer upon natural rights and has the power to control the states and dictate its will, though only by the docility of the population.

“Sadly, the belief in “authority” even makes people feel obligated to assist in their own enslavement, oppression and sometimes death. In fact, only a small percentage of the coercion of “government” is implemented by the enforcers of “authority”; most of it is implemented by its victims. The ruling class merely tells people that they are required to do certain things, and most people comply without any actual enforcement taking place.

As one impressive example, tens of millions of Americans, every year, fill out lengthy, confusing forms known as “tax returns,” essentially extorting themselves. If the victims of the IRS agreed to pay, but only if the “government” figured out their alleged tax liabilities, the system would collapse. Every tax return is basically a signed confession, with the victim of the extortion racket not only revealing everything about his finances – essentially interrogating himself – but also even figuring out the amount that will be stolen, so the thieves do not have to.”

- Larken Rose, “The Most Dangerous Superstition”⁶⁴

The individual is now the smallest part of government. The idea of communities supporting themselves is forgotten as communities now see the federal government doing all the work to care for the elderly, our neighbors, the ill, education of children and encroaching upon every other facet of society. Civil society has been eliminated by government intervention, and the likelihood of war only grows with centralized government growth. Rather than being used for saving and investment, money collected by Income Tax is now used for income transfer programs administered by the state. Less capitol means less productivity in the economy, meaning all of the population is poorer in the long run.

What the Income Tax supposedly does and what it actually does are two vastly different things. The common sayings by statist, such as, “I’m a taxpaying patriotic American”, shows enough idiocy for any knowledgeable person to quickly walk away from such a person. They are likely ignorant enough to believe they can violate you if you disagree or try to educate them. The taxes that you pay to the IRS every year are NOT theirs to take. It is unconstitutional, inhumane, and societally compromising to have people give substantial portions of their earned incomes to a government that increases its (and thus your) debt to a private institution. Seeing as you had no hand in the creation of that agreement though, you have no contract binding you to do such a thing as to give your money away to a private or government agency. It is so totally detestable a situation that we are left in, that we must either accept that most people will continue to be slaves of the Fed and thus be feeding their greed and lust for global power and invasion of life on every level or be relegated to a corner of society that is bereft of support, censored, and marginalized in every way, whether we be economists, quantum physicists, psychologists, historians, gurus, politicians, futurists, anthropologists, civil engineers, pathologists or simply observant people. Income taxation is a key factor in the enslavement of the people of the world. The moment it is no longer fed is the moment this corrupt government will collapse, and the people have the opportunity for much better.

What the Income Tax Really Means:

“Your Earnings are not exclusively your own. We (We, the Government) have a claim on them, and our claim precedes yours. We will allow you to keep some of it because we recognize your need but not your right. But whatever we grant you for yourself is for us to decide.”

- Frank Chatterough

Our economic system is not only unsustainable, but it is an outright violation of human rights and is responsible for suffering on scales that can hardly be accounted by normal standards. What is the correct justice for planetary slavery and genocide? What is the correct justice to be applied to the people who have profited on the deaths and suffering of millions and billions of people? It goes beyond punishment. We need to act to change this before we all perish.

"I can hire one-half of the working class to kill the other half."

– Jay Gould, American railroad developer and robber baron, 19th Century

Let us talk about what we can do today. An obvious response to the profiteering of individuals on the suffering of others is to take away their power, their money. Today, with three people owning more wealth than half of the population, we must take action to prevent these types of behaviors and the ability for markets to behave this way. We must tax the wealthy. Even if we were to tax those among the top one hundred wealthiest families at 95 percent of their wealth, they would still be wealthier than the vast majority of the population in the nation. Even taxed at 99 percent, they would be in the top one percent of wealth in the nation. This is beyond ridiculous. How did we allow this to happen when we have homeless and hungry populations? How could we allow this if there was even one hungry or homeless person on this planet? We need to evolve as a species. We need to grow, and we have no time to wait for those who have become lost in greed, corruption, and ignorance. We must bypass these ones and push forward for the benefit of all. We need to generate support for social programs and regulations that support, offer, incentivize, and empower healthy food, clean water, adequate shelter, health care, clean energy, non-harmful products and services and access to education, determined by the local population, for people as basic human rights. These programs must be built in such a way that they be used as a ladder. Each program offering education and resources on how to create firstly sustainable practices, secondly regenerative practices, and thirdly empowered and inspired evolution. We need to cultivate our system to provide an abundance of possibilities to every individual, so they may participate in the fullest with their environment in beneficial ways. Elitist, competitive economic systems serve populations that are not ready to collaborate for the highest wellbeing of the entire population. As long as government exists, it has the responsibility to act for the highest good of all the people.

When we install trust and support into the foundation of our system for all people, we encourage more trust and support. When the government acts with cooperation and responsiveness to the entire population, and endeavors to construct relationships that are non-competing, the population will also see similar changes in their lives to this new political and social attitude. Open trade is particularly important. In this I include Contract Law that does not reduce or eliminate the rights of people by secretly or otherwise manipulating the Justice System. Contract Law must be considered amongst the most important aspects of the economic structure as it determines the interactions the people have with abusive and powerful corporations and individuals. Contracts must become explicit in their meaning and content to the extent that even the least educated potential signor will understand the full context of their signing.

All financial activity of the government must be made readily and easily available to the public along with expertly designed methods of making the information digestible from the least educated to the most educated in the population. A complete report on the Earth's carrying capacity must be created, and regulations must be placed on the extraction of materials that are being depleted beyond their recovery within one lifetime. This report must also indicate the rate of use that is the maximum rate possible before there is an inability to correct the depletion within one lifetime. Economic policy must be created within the scope of this reports indication of the carrying capacity of this planet of finite resources.

We need to restructure the budget to reflect a fundamental perspective change of the nation toward internal wellbeing rather than fearmongering and security systems that inherently create stronger

enemies every day, while consuming vast funding without considerable rewards for those paying for them. All of our 58 National Parks have an annual budget of 2.6 billion Dollars. That is one-fifth the cost of an aircraft carrier. We need to incentivize worker-owned businesses. We need to abolish Price Fixing in any industry. Air, water, and onshore public lands (1/3 nations territorial mass) apply to the public commons. The Federal Government is constantly pressured by mining, timber, and grazing industries to provide this land for private profit. We must enact laws that prevent the wholesale rape of the commons by corporations at the expense of the population and the environment.

Airwaves are public property (television and radio) administered by the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) which allocates space on the broadcast spectrum to licensed stations abiding by its rules and regulations. FCC has been reshaped and compelled by Congress to allocate free license renewals every 8 years to big business due to corporate lobbying. Ronald Reagan, through the FCC, rescinded the Fairness Doctrine in 1987. This practice must stop, and we must demand an equitable pricing system corporations must abide by. We must stop giving away our commons to corporations. We would not give corporations control of libraries, so why would we give them control of our airwaves. A backpay for airspace that has been used without fee would generate large funds to the public interest. Public sentiment or expectation levels need to change in order to win back social control.

If every corporation that has used airwaves had to pay the people directly for use of the airwaves, not only would corporate influence diminish in that area, but the people as a whole would be stimulated economically, benefitting the entire society. This may sound strange, but the fact is that the airwaves are the real property of the public just as much as the roads and the forests, and every other part of the commons. For a private corporation to access this in order to market their goods, share their opinions and propaganda, or anything else, they must pay the people directly for the use. Paying the government does nothing as the government is in collusion with the private corporate interests and disregards the wellbeing and the wishes of the population. Thus, it makes perfect sense that the people should be compensated fairly for the use of their property. As it is the public right, airwaves must also be accessible to the public freely, but perhaps with some sort of management system for time allotments, so as to invite the public to use their property equitably.

The Federal Communications Commission was formed on June 12, 1934, replacing the Federal Radio Commission. "The FCC helps to regulate content, award station charters, and monitor innovation to make sure that all forms of communication can co-exist. The FCC is also involved in regulating the Internet in the United States, and it has created regulations that have become the center of debate for the telecommunications industry, corporate users, and the millions of people in the United States who utilize the Internet every day."⁶⁵ The FCC is literally used as a censorship and propaganda tool and media gatekeeper.

There must be a direct payment to the people for every sale of the commons to corporations. These sales must be under the direct approval of the population, in full awareness of the nature of the purchase intent, and revocable if any terms are violated. Any harm of the commons would be considered as assault or murder under the Rights of Nature.

"According to a 1994 report by the Mineral Policy Institute, Barrick (American Barrick Resources Corporation of Canada) received 'patents' on 1,038 acres. The Mineral Policy Center explains that 'patent' as follows: 'A company that discovers a valuable mineral deposit on its claim can 'patent,' or gain fee title to, the land for a price not to exceed \$5.00 per acre. Upon patent issuance, title to public lands is transferred to private ownership.' Barrick 'purchased' the 1,038 acres for \$5,190 or \$5 per acre. Those acres held mineral reserves valued at more than \$10 billion!... Corporatization-or 'privatization,' as those who benefit from it prefer to say- is the process used to commercialize and extract profit from as much of society as possible: from public water systems, from public

schools, from control of the Internet, from national parks, non-profit hospitals, and even from some fire departments. These commons are seen as lucrative resources to be tapped, no matter the local consequences, and then abandoned the moment they've been picked clean."

-Ralph Nadar, Breaking Through Power⁶⁶

Taxation, when enforced upon an unwilling population, is mass theft. When it is created under the approval of a fully informed population it can be used to their benefit. While many people feel that direct taxes are a tool of the worst design, they do not have to be. Direct Taxation is not inherently bad. Taxation without consent and representation is bad, for when taxes are used without the direct consent of the accurately represented population you have an obvious availability for corruption and private interests and agendas to direct tax revenues to projects that do not serve the people. A direct tax is a levy on the taxpayer's individual income. This has been seen as a distinctively abhorrent way to generate revenues for a state, but this would hardly be the case in a well-run and responsive government. The fact that the government is not accurately representing the people, not accountable to its errors and failings and not efficient or even functional in the passage of legislature that provide holistic benefit to the nation shows that a direct tax is not appropriate. Indirect tax is a levy that does not come from taxpayer's income. This has historically been seen as a more appropriate method for taxing since ancient Greece. In the current situation in the United States, the wealth disparity is in manifest opposition to the wellbeing of all life. When the government implements a direct tax policy, at the public's behest, and uses these taxes to equitably shift wellbeing from a small group to the entire population, the direct tax may be considered valid. Taxation is a method by which the management of the tools and systems that benefit the entire population can be funded to adequately provide the upkeep of those tools and systems while continually evolving and advancing them. Higher taxes on wealthy individuals and large corporations can distribute wellbeing to the entire population without reducing the wellbeing of any entity. A direct tax that directly gives greater quality of life to the individual is the only direct tax that is of benefit to a people. Quality of Life is not synonymous with quantity of money, but rather access to the needs and wants of an individual to fully explore their potential in life, beginning with the fulfillment of their basic survival needs. We need to heavily reform the US Tax Code. It needs to be simplified. It needs to be accessible to the understanding of the average person, and applicable to Contract Law.

With that said, the ability for any government to maintain a righteous and equitable stance is fundamentally oxymoronic. Those who crave power do anything they can to acquire and keep it. It is not possible for government to serve the population. It is only possible when systems are made by which individuals and groups are made incapable of using their influence and ambition to overtake a population. This is only possible through systems that remove the human error at the root. This can be achieved through the advancement of smart contract technology, where individuals operate within a structure that is open source, open forum, and accessible to all. Smart contracts can be used to make a government that must operate within certain parameters. It is possible that this type of government would serve the interests of the entire population, as it would place the individual in a position of power equitably to all other individuals. It would follow that something akin to the Venus Projects design would evolve from this. It is important that we do not hinder our progress by cementing our potential to one idea. This is why I often contradict myself, so as to be open to a very many potential solutions. We must always endeavor to stay in an open and clear mind when confronting the issues before us, otherwise we will simply recycle useless or even detrimental problems in new ways. When we are free to explore the options, the availability of solutions increases, and the problems become merely transitions.

Since the Sixteenth Amendment was passed in 1913, Congress has had the right to levy direct taxes from the public. This was another insult to the people who had fought for over a century to not be taxed on their income.

“The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, without apportionment among the several States, and without regard to any census or enumeration.”

–16th Amendment

What has been the result of this direct tax? We have watched the creation debtors’ prisons. The practice of incarcerating people who are unable to pay debts derives from Western Europe, where they would lock destitute debtors in workhouses until they had worked off their debts.

“Nearly two centuries ago, the United States formally abolished the incarceration of people who failed to pay off debts. Yet, recent years have witnessed the rise of modern-day debtors’ prisons—the arrest and jailing of poor people for failure to pay legal debts they can never hope to afford, through criminal justice procedures that violate their most basic rights.... Ultimately, debtors’ prisons are not only unfair and insensible, they are also illegal. Imprisoning someone because she cannot afford to pay court-imposed fines or fees violates the Fourteenth Amendment promises of due process and equal protection under the law.”

-ACLU

Direct Federal taxation is the final nail in the coffin for Jeffersonian States Rights Tradition. The Civil War eliminated the State Right of nullification and secession and made States franchises and appendages of the Federal Government, which can and does threaten Governors with regulation and withdrawal of Federal Subsidies if they do not fall in line with Federal interests, effectively making the States beggars. After the 16th Amendment, the government became totally consolidated. In 1913, the creation of the Federal Reserve Bank came with the Government generated Inflation Tax. Direct taxes allow massive amounts of money to be generated that can be used to purchase votes to keep the same people in power forever and makes it possible for them to fundraise anywhere, rather than focusing on the people of their own state or region. Federal government is the major infringer on natural rights and has the power to control the states and dictate its will. The individual became the smallest part of government, and the idea of communities supporting themselves has been forgotten as communities see the Federal government saying it is doing all the work to care for elderly, neighbors, ill, education of children, not that they actually are. Civil society is eliminated by government intervention, and war has become more likely. Rather than being used for saving and investment, money collected by Income Tax is now used for income transfer programs administered by the state. Less capitol means less productivity in the economy, meaning the general population is poorer in the long run. With all these things in consideration, the obvious conclusion is that we must either abolish income taxes or abolish our crooked government and install something better.

Trade policy is the government’s stance in how we conduct international trade. Since the United States entered into the WTO, we have largely disempowered our ability to enforce our trade policies and standards. Corporations now determine trade policy. We need a change in the focus of our interactions with the rest of the world. There is a remarkably high amount of wasteful and harmful practices that the US engages in on the world stage, often reflective of the condition and values held by the corporate and political interests that control our government. We must engage in war as the last resort in international policy. We must engage in unyielding standards in trade that do not allow harmful products and services to enter the country or influence our people. This can be done by creating a definitive stance on foreign trade ethics that focuses on immediate health and wellbeing of people and the planet and by empowering third party agencies with oversight on government operations reviewing the incoming and

outgoing products. We must put the planet and the life upon her first. The World Trade Organization does not resolve international trade problems, but rather is built to encourage violent unquestioned resource extraction from vulnerable countries and decrease costs of goods in privileged nations. The WTO does not allow vulnerable nations to truly have a say in these policies, which leave them with extreme poverty conditions and do not offer them fair financial imbursements for their resources. The WTO must be abolished, and possibly a new enforceable, transparent, and equitable organization of all desirous nations must be created, in which we encourage the most endangered and suffering nations the ability to overcome their current and manufactured economic disabilities and concurrently their social issues. We must invite third party human rights observers into every part of every meeting held, and we must air all international meetings on national media. Making public these negotiations and meetings will inherently discourage nefarious agreements and will make it far easier for claims for violations and damages to be validated. The problem is that these agencies already present themselves to be working in this way. They seem to the casual observer to be beneficent while they are acting against the welfare of the population of the world.

When it comes to China, Russia, and other difficult nations or nations made to look difficult in the media, we must make an extra effort to produce agreements that support mutualistic wellbeing for the populations and disregard attempts to exploit vulnerable populations wherever they may exist. Russia is currently viewed as an invader in Ukraine, despite the fact that there are many Ukrainians who wouldn't know a war was happening if they weren't being told that, as they have full markets, cheap gas at about \$2/gal, and quiet streets. Ukraine is a corrupted nation, with direct ties to corrupt U.S. politicians. At the moment of writing this, the 101st Airborne Division is supposedly being readied to deploy to Germany and maybe Poland. This means that the U.S. is aggravating a response from Russia, despite the fact that the U.S. has no legitimate reason for getting involved in the affairs of a Russian-Ukrainian war. Who is the real aggressor? I can tell you confidently that it is never the one they are identifying as such in Rupert Murdoch's News Corp, or on any other news channel, because THEY ARE ALL CORRUPT GLOBALIST BASTARDS! So, who to believe? Who knows? Best thing is to *believe* nothing and live your life as locally beneficial as possible. Grow food, buy guns and ammo, and exchange in a locally made currency that works for the people directly involved and disregard all things coming out of your television. That's a place to start anyway.

Some resources are created or only economically feasible to be acquired within certain regions of the world. Rare Earth Metals, or Elements, are predominantly mined in China, due to their abundance there and Chinese working conditions policies, which allow people to mine and process these materials at extreme health detriments. China has control over the REE market and can dictate the value of the metals by restricting or opening the floodgates on their availability to the rest of the world. We need to create mutualistic policies, that are not dictated by any particular country. The US has conducted a combative foreign policy that creates enemies rather than partners. It creates a destructive foreign perspective. We must create sovereign and cooperative unions to produce transparent trade policies. Rare earth elements are only one of the categories of imports the US currently depends upon. We must create better diplomatic relations, better economic relations, and better ecological relations. We must also find ways to become more self-regenerative and not reliant on foreign powers for our needs, as the "One World Government" and "New World Order" proponents desire.

REE's are needed to make solar panels, which has a considerable influence on our ability to generate solar energy amongst a million other technologies. We must work with China, but simultaneously develop our own minimalistic mining operations protected by non-market funding, so as to make accessible our own REE's as protection against Chinese non-market interference in trade value. Negotiations with China amongst other nations must accommodate for the needs of the planet and wellbeing of the people first. While it is important to make contracts that increase the value of our currency and bring in various products and raw resources, we must begin every discussion with asking

how the products are created, how they impacted the planet in their creation, and demand and ensure that any harmful practices be changed immediately if trade with those countries is to be conducted. With cooperation between the nations on this planet, we can reduce the damage done today and thereafter. We cannot support the theft of resources from vulnerable nations by more powerful nations. This must end firstly by providing evidence publicly, secondly by sanctioning the thieves, and thirdly by support for victims economically, militarily, and by bolstering their international demands for reparations. Even in truly capitalistic systems, there would be no economic benefit from this practice.

Cooperation between sovereign nations, which is what I propose here, is far different that cooperation mandated by international trade agreements, enforceable by supranational governmental organizations. That is centralized control. Nations that wish to cooperate can do so, and even groups of cooperative nations can cooperate with other groups, just as long as no binding world government exists that supersedes the sovereign authority of nations. Ever greater centralization is the key to global slavery. Ever greater decentralization I the key to personal liberty. We need to not only prevent the creation of world government, but eradicate the federal government, as it too is simply the expression of centralized power. Even some states today, like California, New York, Texas, and Florida are so populous that they outnumber many nations around the world. It is unsustainable to have such a large bureaucracy micromanaging such a large population. Everywhere one looks in the United States, diversity has been destroyed, and everything has become standardized, corporatized, made to be efficient rather than beautiful. No wonder there are massive populations doing anything they can to appear different, to break out the mold in any way they can imagine. It is a disease born of the eradication of personal liberty and the effort to turn all the people into mindless batteries that feed the centralized institutions. It is the attempt by millions to break out of it, though most often expressed by those millions in ignorant manner, lacking the knowledge to appropriately know where and in what method to direct their energy.

Capitalism in its purity indicates that if those nations with raw resources were free to sell their resources at their desired and fair rates, there would be greater market competition created, rather than the consolidation of all resources into the hands of only a few thieving countries, corporations, or individuals. We must not only move beyond the idea of stealing resources, but we must invest in nations that are disempowered and oppressed by international trade, to increase their internal value, and thus have strong allies in trade that increase the value of our mutual trading relationship. We must engage in a mixture of various economic models, without taking away from our sovereignty and dignity.

Currently, we are raping endangered nations and stealing their inherent wealth. We must completely and utterly change this practice to its complete opposite. We must assist and encourage these nations and protect them from the danger of international interference and violations. We must encourage our manufacturing industries through investment, industry incentives, and trade priorities. We must encourage the immigrant populations to engage in these market entrance positions, wherever automation does not exist. We must give ownership of these manufacturing industries to the people and encourage advances in our production to maximize product value, while reducing its financial burden. These things can be done. They are only inaccessible because we have not begun. By building an evolved manufacturing industry, we can begin to combat the flood of harmful products and manufacturing facilities that currently are appearing all over the planet and resulting in vast waste and environmental collapse. We must make products that are valued by their ability to reduce harm to the planet, while serving the needs and desires of the population. We must work to create friendships with Russia, China, North Korea, Iran, Venezuela, and other countries that we have had difficulties with. We must find common grounds and make trade deals that support our common wellbeing.

This is balanced by the first and primary need that all interactions with other nations serve the peoples greatest wellbeing. If we are to be the representative pillar of freedom in the world, we must not engage with nations that violate the ideals of our society. We must completely deny them in fact. It is a weak

people that deserve to die, that allow trade to occur with a nation that is massacring a race within their borders, just so that batteries can be cheaper. That is the cowardice. Since China is currently doing great harm to various Christian and Muslim people within its boundaries, it would make sense to cut them off entirely. This isn't possible when we have a dependency on China for our survival needs, but that has only come about through design. Strengthen our domestic manufacturing, tear down the FED, empower competitive banking, incentivize small business loans for production, remove federal control over public education, get rid of Homeland Security and all the unconstitutional surveillance programs, protect domestic water supply against depletion and redistribution, and eradicate unemployment and food services. Overnight, this nation could be transformed and set on the path to self-sufficiency, and we would no longer be left mewling, debasing ourselves and genuflecting at the feet of supranational globalist monopolists. We need to become independent, domestically secure and powerful and abundant, before we are ready to interact with a world that would take advantage, and does take advantage, of every weakness.

True, Congress can tax and spend, but what the chair (Federal Reserve Bank chairman, Jerome Powell) doesn't seem to admit is that taxation only provides so much money, compared to spending which appears to be nearly limitless. Of course, what makes up the shortfall when spending exceeds taxation revenue, if not from debt? Considering the Fed owns \$4.5 trillion of US Treasury's, of a nation with a \$27 trillion debt, we should come to terms with understanding that the Fed is financing a significant portion of the US government's spending activities...

The majority of people will undoubtedly be watching to see how the election is called and the looming fallout, but we must remember: the government is financed by the people and also by its central bank. The trajectory we are on is one where the Fed's balance sheet will continually increase, with a corresponding decrease in the wealth and freedom of the individual. How this fits in with the concept of a strong republic by the people and for the people is anyone's guess.

-Presidents Come and Go. The Fed's Power Grows, by Robert Aro, Mises Institute⁶⁷

The single greatest action we can take in our nation and in the world today is to audit and abolish the Federal Reserve System, criminalize private central banking, imprison the bankers that have been manipulating international markets to perpetuate suffering and environmental destruction, and make all information discovered through the audit of the system directly available to the public. This would begin a process of recovery that is nearly impossible to undertake with the Federal Reserve in its current position of dominance and authority over the economy. We will have to replace the system of course, so the question arrives... what will we replace the Federal Reserve with? This is not a difficult question to answer as the Reserve has only existed for a century and civilizations have existed without it or any central bank or fractional reserve system for millennia. The five major roles of the Federal Reserve are to run the system for transferring funds between banks, to issue paper currency, to regulate commercial banks, by enforcing reserve, capital, and paperwork requirements, to function as the Lender of Last Resort, in cash creation when the system as a whole is running short, and to conduct monetary policy, such as the Federal Funds Rate (FFR) which is the interest rate at which banks borrow from one another and the expansion or contraction of money in the economy.

The Federal Reserve has numerous detrimental effects on the economy and society besides its inherent depravity as a private bank loaning money to the public at interest. It subsidizes weak banks, bails out wall street investment houses, enforces harmful restrictions on banks, conducts disruptive monetary policy, breeds corruption and is materially compromised as its board consists of its regional managers. If we eliminate the Federal Reserve today, and criminalize fractional reserve banking, and jail the bankers,

we could easily implement a new banking system to support our nation as the private bankers never did nor attempted to.

We can implement an Interbank Payment System run by Clearing House Associations, organized by cooperating banks, similar to Clearing House Payments Company, but with transparent oversight and market floor regulations by government. These institutions would need to be publicly auditable upon demand. The Clearing House Associations would be owned by the participating banks, just like the CHPC is today, or even better, owned by banks owned by the local populations. The people would then reap the benefit as a whole. CHPC handles half of the daily volume today of wired payments in the United States. Paper currency can be issued by ordinary banks as it was until the 1930's. Today, private bank notes are dominant currencies in Scotland, N. Ireland, and Hong Kong. With minimalistic government regulations for security of the financial system, private banks would be allowed to print and distribute their own currencies, which would force banks to compete for customers.

Another option would be to have a nationalized central bank, though this seems more dangerous in our current political environment due to the intertwined nature of corporate and political interests. It would be safer to decentralize to begin with, then slowly reconsider the potential of a nationalized banking system that would compete with the clearing house associations, though this option reaffirms the federal position in the public money which would again produce control and abuse over time. Clearing House regulation of banks is a highly reliable system. Standards for Membership ensure quality, and banks must qualify to participate. Member banks have to be fully capable of settling up with other member banks and balance sheets must be provided to the Clearing House as well as Clearing House auditors must be allowed to audit each member banks books on demand. Clearing House Associations will act as the Lender of Last Resort, which provides its members with a mutual insurance. The government will audit these banking systems and set guidelines like universal public access to records, money laundering monitoring, and national safety issues. By having the banks compete for the public's attention and money, they will be forced to comply with the public will, rather than manipulate it to their private shareholders benefit as the Federal Reserve does today.

We need to abolish the Federal Reserve NOW and tear down its walls and cast out its villainy. Barring this, we can at least take an action that would inherently and ultimately leave the Federal Reserve powerless to generate disparity, which is to create laws that say any private institution that issues private currency or regulates the economy must give universally equal shares of the bank to all of the population. This would mean that every single individual would be equally an owner of the bank as the chairman or any other shareholder and have equal voting rights, equal pay from bank profits, and equal responsibility for the bank in its entirety. This would make the bank unable to create disparity, for as it profits, it must distribute those profits to all the people of the population rather than a small group of private individuals. This means that whenever the government takes a loan from the Federal Reserve of a trillion dollars, when they pay back those trillion dollars with interest, the Federal Reserve must then payout to every person of the public the profit made by that loan payback, though the initial debt will never be repaid. This isn't a problem though, as the Federal Reserve is not composed of real money, rather it is composed of a parallel economy without substance, and thus can take on a negative balance sheet without harming the real money economy. Let's say its one-hundred billion dollars in profit. That's about \$300 for every person. Even better than this, we might make it illegal for private banks to issue loans at interest. This would eliminate the entire purpose of a private central bank and would effectively eliminate the problem. Wouldn't it just be easier to burn the Federal Reserve down and hang the crooks inside though? How about all their cronies in government? Torches and pitchforks anyone?

“And to preserve their independence (the public), we must not let our rulers load us with perpetual debt. We must make our election between economy and liberty, or profusion and servitude. If we run into such debts, as that we must be taxed in our meat and in our

drink, in our necessities and our comforts, in our labors and our amusements, for our callings and our creeds, as the people of England are, our people, like them, must come to labor sixteen hours in the twenty-four, give the earnings of fifteen of these to the government for their debts and daily expenses; and the sixteenth being insufficient to afford us bread, we must live, as they now do, on oatmeal and potatoes; have no time to think, no means of calling the mismanagers to account; but be glad to obtain subsistence by hiring ourselves to rivet their chains on the necks of our fellow-sufferers.”

— Thomas Jefferson, Letters of Thomas Jefferson

Economic democracy is the idea that public stakeholders like workers, customers, suppliers, and the public should have decision-making power rather than corporate managers and corporate shareholders. There are a very many different systems that have been developed within the idea of economic democracy. In our current economic model, we see only negative patterns for the general public and only great rewards for select groups and individuals. In an economic democracy, we would see those patterns flipped on their head. The people would be given the right to manage the means of production and would benefit from directly profiting from that production. The current captive capitalistic model has a major flaw in that it relies on economic and market managers to determine and either restrict or expand supply. In a direct economic democracy, the guesswork involved in this market management would disappear as the people and the market itself would be the determinant of the supply, and thus would create a proportionate demand. While the captive capitalistic system we have today sees the world as a dead thing that can be raped and ripped apart, a system that is managed by the people will potentially have a much more reasoned and collectively determined impact on the environment, naturally leading to less destruction as people will refuse to destroy their own land.

The evolution of the idea that our economy should serve the entire population, whether through capitalism, socialism, or any other model, is the creation of a Resource-Based Economy. This model I will speak on toward the conclusion of this book. In short, it is an economic and social model that measures the carrying capacity of the planet and makes all economic decisions about the use of those resources by looking at the needs of all the lifeforms on the planet. It brings us into a technologically advanced mutualistic relationship with the natural environment. It is the optimum way of utilizing our technology to serve all life on the planet while negating detrimental impacts to any resource or aspect of life. To work toward that social and economic design must become our goal and our motivation. We must begin by giving power to the general population to determine their lives and to organize and become responsible for their wellbeing.

Worker self-management is a system by which a workforce determines its own work processes. Elected bodies can be used, or a direct voting system through assemblies or various other methods, but all methods result in the direct will of the workers determining the work process. This modality of organizational management allows for the elimination of boss culture and thus gives greater responsibility and greater self-determinism to the workers. This allows for greater influence and power to be held by every individual in the business and thus gives them the right to dissent without fear of retribution, to fight for greater wages or ownership without abuse from an individual or group who wields ultimate power and grants them the satisfaction of being responsible for their work, their production, and their livelihood.

The current corporate worker is generally under the Taylorism model, which says that a worker needs to be given simple repetitive tasks that they will perform exclusively to participate in the production of goods. This model has been implemented to such an exacting degree, that a huge proportion of factories are enforcing timeframes that do not allow their workers to deviate by seconds from their repetitive tasks. This is humorously and very accurately portrayed in Charlie Chaplin’s movie *Modern Times*, in which he is on a conveyor belt crew, turning two bolts over and over again as the manager

continually increases the speed at which he must complete the task. This is the model by which corporations have used their workers, to the extreme detriment of those workers. It reduces the skill level of the workers and creates mental, emotional, and physical distress, often reducing their productivity as well as their wellbeing and their motivation. The cumulative result of Taylorism is slave workers producing goods at extreme discounts to the industrial owners and sold at premiums to the public who make survival wages or less, and thus not having the capital to spend on those very same goods they produced become indebted to the banker-industrial Plutocrats.

In 1973, minimum wage was \$4.30. To match this in purchasing power today, the minimum wage would have to be \$23.68. This means that while we have increased our technologies and, yes computers and cell phones have advanced and offered better services over time, the actual purchasing power has decreased by 41%-78% depending on the state. So, while some commodities have become "cheaper" over that time, the ability to take one inflation corrected dollar and purchase the same amount of goods has disappeared. This means that if inflation did not exist or occur, the dollar should be able to purchase the same amount of goods 50 years apart, excluding fluctuations in organic market demand, but this is not the case. Rather your dollars can get you 22%-59% of what it could 50 years before. The average 4-year public college in 1970 cost \$405. In 2019, that same education cost \$10,230.⁶⁸ That's over 25 times the cost over 50 years, during the same time that our dollar has devalued compared to cost-of-living expenses. In June 2019, the average asking rent for unfurnished homes was \$1,588. The average annual electricity bill was \$1,334. Annual food expenditure was \$7,923.⁶⁹ In 1970, the median rent was \$108.⁷⁰ In 1980, the Median rent for homes and apartments was \$308⁷¹ Rent has increased in 50 years from 13% of average family household income to over 21% of average family household income. ^{72/73} The annual cost of electricity in 1970 was equivalent to \$233 in 2020 dollars., which was about \$35 in 1970. This is a change of almost 600% purchasing power lost.⁷⁴ Food expenditure accounts for 9.7% of household's total disposable income, which is less than the 70's, but when we factor in the toxicity of the foods sold at cheaper prices made available by merging markets and advancements in distribution, storing, packaging and preserving, and the medical deficits that have piled up as a result, we can see that the costs are far greater as we can merge much of our medical costs into the long-term cost of purchasing and consuming large quantities of toxic substances.

This is class warfare and oppression. With worker-managed systems, Taylorism would be rapidly removed as people became aware and active in applying their authority in shaping the nature of their working environment. Today, and for several generations, the U.S. and much of the world have used Taylorism in schooling and in the workplace, leaving children indoctrinated into the system of compartmentalization, subservience and obsequiousness, and generalized testing for socioeconomic position. The decentralization of power is the key to increasing the wellbeing of the general population. Interestingly, capitalism is often confused with fascism and captive capitalism. In a purely capitalistic system, the goal is not for consumers to experience scarcity and competition, but rather businesses. The business that has to compete with its neighbor businesses will naturally be forced to provide better services, goods, and prices to the consumer. In our system today, we are forced to experience manufactured scarcity by monopolized markets owned by private individuals and extremely small groups. The inability for small local businesses to compete with the large conglomerated multinational corporations means that we have left behind us even the shadow of capitalism. We have entered into plutocracy and captive capitalism. By shifting power away from these conglomerated entities and by giving that power to the workforce and the general public, we will see capitalism reveal itself. By giving control of the markets and the means of production to the workers, we will remove the cronyism that has that has controlled our economy and our government from its origination.

Regionalization of the food supply is necessary and will be a determining factor in the future of human existence and wellbeing in years to come. Today, the globalization of the supply of food may seem to have benefitted the planet, but the real result of the agricultural boom and the planetary trade of food

has been the destruction of arable land, forcibly implemented and controlled scarcity by centralized producers, distributors, and retailers and ecocide on greater scales than has ever occurred before. It has also removed the local responsibility of communities to provide for their own sustenance. It has created a widely held misunderstanding and ignorance of the methods of food production and has separated people from the earth and their heritage. As more people migrate to cities, and as more agribusiness takes control of the arable land, the less the population will understand or be responsible for the means of producing food. This means that their power to decide the methods of food production will be so minimal as to be practically non-existent. With less than one percent of the population of the U.S. farming, we see that the vastly agrarian society of a century and a half ago has dwindled and forgotten its heritage already. The regionalization of the food supply will return the power and responsibility of providing sustenance to the public and especially to the local communities that will be responsible for producing what they need and trading with other communities around them. While some amount of globalization of trade does not harm the people, the power to trade on that level must be left to the individuals who collectively, or even better, individually conduct their policies.

Along with these economic system changes, we need to remember that at the core of our contaminated society today we have a privately controlled financial empire that prints all of our currency, dictates and determines financial policy, controls interest rates, and has zero actual oversight by the public through any mechanism of government. This is the root of the disease that is manufacturing suffering and scarcity in our country and around the planet. By allowing this private banking cartel to continue is to allow the perpetuation of our decline into self-destruction. We could return our country to its highest time of financial prosperity, when we had a free banking system in which banks were forced to compete to provide the best services, goods, and prices to the public, the consumers. We can obviously alter the system to meet the demands of the modern situation, but we must not allow this heinous misconduct and mismanagement to continue. We need to force the closure of the Federal Reserve and alter our foreign policy to pressure the rest of the world to eliminate their private central banks. We need to eliminate the IMF and the World Bank along with all other central banking organizations around the world that are free from oversight and wield power beyond any nation or group of nations. When these organizations are allowed to produce and manage our currency at their own reward and at all others expense, we will see the most dreadful results as has been the case for many centuries in some places, and globally for several generations.

The origins of central banking and plutocracy go back to antiquity. The ability for a certain class to own and dictate to the other "lower classes" and to determine the value of currency or exchange of any type is not a novel one. In the recent past, one of the greatest examples, if not the greatest, of the diseased governmental and economic structures in use, is the Nazi invasion of global markets and governments. Despite the belief that Goebbels was Hitler's most important subordinate, the true mastery was with Martin Bormann. Bormann was charged with the financial success of the Nazi Empire, and he was an extremely capable weapon in that regard. Bormann was the one who masterminded the funneling of the bullion of the various European countries that the Nazis attacked, invaded, and raided, such as Poland for example, to Switzerland. That bullion amounted to vast fortunes that was, for a high fee, taken into Swiss trust accounts, and then dispersed at the discretion of the Nazis, to any country and enterprise they desired. Nearing the end of the war, Bormann called a meeting between various of his international associates, such as representatives from Bayer and Ford, as well as various other major corporations, governments, and institutions. At this meeting he told them that the Nazi Party would be entrusting them with vast sums of money to purchase majority stocks in every major corporation in the world as well as to get trusted Nazi affiliates to sit on the boards of the same major corporations. Where they could not get majority shares, they would purchase minority shares and do whatever they could to integrate into every corner of the world's financial institutions and corporations. After this meeting, just

such a thing happened. They hold that the Nazi Party and its affiliates, like Prescott Bush, George W. Bush's grandfather, had on global politics and finance grew exponentially, and can be viewed now as one of the greatest scams to ever take place.

The German population was not rewarded for their loyalty to the Nazi Party, nor for their great losses they received in the war, for which they were as at fault as any population forced into war by their government. Instead, the stolen bullion, and the rewards of the market purchases made at Bormann's command went to serve only the highest Nazi officials, and the Nazi friends and affiliates globally, such as; the government of Argentina; the Rockefeller Family who had supplied necessary oil to the Nazi war effort; the Ford Motor Company who supplied more than 20% of all vehicles and vehicle parts for the Nazi war machine; IG Farben (Bayer), who manufactured Zyklon B to kill prisoners in concentration camps and provided the Fluoride for keeping the concentration camps populations docile amongst other atrocities; Siemens; Chase National Bank; AEG; Coca-Cola; Nestle; IBM (Dehomag), who supplied technology for tracking Jews and other undesirables as well as coordinated the mechanics of the extermination of millions of people in them; Allianz Ag, which insured concentration camps against claims; The Associated Press, who printed over 10,000 propaganda pieces for the Nazis; and many, many others.

The International Monetary Fund was created under the guise of making Nazis pay for their crimes but did not affect the Nazis most responsible for the crimes, but rather the general population. The IMF is coincidentally where, every two weeks, the chairmen of the central banks globally, meet to discuss global financial policy behind closed doors. What they decide in that room at the IMF becomes our financial reality around the world. No government has greater authority today than this group and their collective ambition and will. These are the arbiters of war, slavery, and scarcity. The links that exist between every government's leadership globally for the past two centuries at least, and their actions, shows the exclusive and insidious nature of the "elitist" motives they share. Aryans were not exclusively of German interest. In fact, the eugenics programs of the United States were largely the inspiration that Hitler took for his own concentration camps.

There were Nazis all over the globe. Much of the first Nazis were psychiatrists. A sizable portion of the SS certainly were and the eugenics programs in the US were conducted by psychiatrists, especially with the Rockefeller funded School of Psychiatry founded in 1929. The point here is that no matter where on the planet, there has been a consistent theme of people controlling others through a small group of followers that are devoid of empathy and believe themselves to be superior. Today, the US society is full of this. Groups that feel superior to other groups. It would not take much to create a wave of intolerance so strong that people are willing to commit murder and atrocities against others.

Today's corporatocracy or plutocracy, is exactly the right type of organization to strip rights from the people or to simply ignore them, such as Lincoln did with our right to not be under a direct tax during the Civil War. Never have we been a democracy. Never have we been a republic. Never have we been a capitalism. Never have we been a free society. Never have we been without the oppression of unjudicial treatment from the laws created by the vipers in congress and the puppets in the white house and the corrupted judges that interpret constitutional rights. Simply look at our history, and you will see naught but abuse from a select society upon the vastly greater majority. Today, we have become a more acutely desensitized, apathetic, and ignorant population, even more susceptible to manipulation and propaganda. If the government were to truly endeavor for a genocide of the inferiors, such as the Nazis did, it would be with even greater ease, as all they have to do is say pandemic, and everyone would run to the nearest "safe zone" to get their vaccines. What an idiocracy we live in, where our histories are forgotten along with their lessons, and the future is riddled with uncertainty due to the same.

We will never be given our freedoms because we want them, nor will we be allowed to prosper when we ask for gifts from a government of any kind. The only means by which anyone has ever won or armed their freedoms, is by living as one who already has them and by being willing to die that way. We could

simply ignore the government, not feed it our money, not pay it any mind, not vote for its rigged elections, and instead make for ourselves the image of life we want to live with our neighbors and local communities. It's a "pick yourself up by your bootstraps" approach that doesn't involve as much suffering as would a civil war or other demonstrations of violent opposition. Just stop giving your energy to that which does not serve you and your neighbors and your planet.

The Federal Reserve holds major influence globally, and thus by removing it from power we will influence the global financial institutions to scramble and recover. We must not allow that recovery to occur. We must create a new decentralized global economic system. Minimally, we must create a banking system that has to compete, cannot create trusts or monopolies, and cannot be a privately-owned central bank, and be barred from printing a nation's currency. If we allow private banks to print their own forms of currency, through the mint, but at their expense, we will see a new revenue stream for the federal government, if such a thing is even needed, which I propose it is not, a competitive, non-exploitative banking system, and a greater economic freedom and wellbeing for the general population. We need to restructure the entire economic model that is in operation today.

DOD AND DHS

The National Defense is the primary and sole responsibility of the Federal Government as laid out in the Constitution. While Congress is solely authorized to declare war, the executive office of the president is the Commander in Chief and has authority over the operations, preparations, and executions of the military and other agencies. The Department of Defense is one of thirteen executive departments that exist to execute the will and authority of the President. The Department of Defense is charged with coordinating and supervising all government functions relating to national security and the US Armed Forces. Its headquarters is in Arlington, Virginia near to Washington, D.C. It is comprised of the Departments of the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force, the National Security Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the National Reconnaissance Office, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), the Strategic Capabilities Office (SCO), the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), the Missile Defense Agency (MDA), and the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) among other agencies. The DoD was created when the military branches were consolidated into one executive branch. The atrocities committed by this department are innumerable. The very first thing that must be done is a public audit, conducted by Congress for crimes against humanity by decision makers, observed and overseen by third party human rights organizations and barring executive sessions. We need to have an immediate reduction of funding, with restructuring focused on creating highly trained personnel, advanced technology that removes human error and loss of life potential, and release of information to the public through Congressional Committee.

In 1775, the Continental Congress created the Army, the Navy, and the Marines. In the time between then and now, our military has evolved, adapting to meet the demands of the times. After the second world war, the United States became the dominant military power in the world. While many people would say that we were competing with Russia in the effort to produce a dominant force, the reality is that no nation anywhere was able to compete with the post-war United States. Russia was decimated with over twenty million deaths. They were not able to compete with the United States despite the post-war propaganda and social programming that led to the Cold War. The United States used Russia as an excuse to expand its military presence around the world, which is why today, we have the largest, most globally involved, and most advanced military in the world. While this maintains its validity today, the future of our military and our global presence looks to be changing. In WWII, we were facing a major high intensity conflict (HIC). In some ways, the Korean and Vietnam conflicts were mostly low-intensity